

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

Fuzzing: An introduction to Sulley Framework

SGS

May 6th, 2013 Brian MARIANI

©2013 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com

• According to Wikipedia:





- ✓ Fuzzing is a software testing technique, often automated or semi-automated.
- It involves providing improper, unexpected or random data to the inputs of a computer program.
- ✓ While the fuzzing process is running, the targeted program is monitored for exceptions, such as crashes, in order to find potential memory corruption scenarios.
- ✓ Fuzzing is commonly used to test for security issues, so as to evaluate a wide variety of software utilities on various platforms.



DIFFERENT FORMS OF FUZZING



 Even if everybody does not agrees with the terms, there are basically two main forms of fuzzing techniques:





MUTATION-BASED FUZZING

- When mutation-based fuzzing is applied as a fuzzing form, known good data is collected, such as files or network traffic.
- Later, this data will be slightly modified. These modifications could be random or using heuristic methods.
- Some examples of heuristic mutations include replacing small strings with longer strings or changing length values to large or small values.



GENERATION-BASED FUZZING

 Generation-based fuzzing starts from a specification or RFC which describes the internals of a specific format or network protocol.

```
starting target process (session Ø)
stopping target process
starting target process (session 1)
Occess Uiglation Handley
```

 The key to making effective test cases is to make each case different from proper data so as to cause a crash in the tested application.

 Transforming the data too much should be avoided, otherwise the application could quickly reject the input as an invalid one.



DISCOVERED VULNERABILITIES



- Any kind of security vulnerabilities can be found using fuzzing techniques. Security researchers often rely on fuzzing to find security issues.
- According to the excellent book "Fuzzing for software security testing and quality assurance" some statistics show that:

| √ | 7/18/12 21:1 7/18/12 21:1 Over 80% of communications software implementations today are vulnerable to implementation-level security flaws . |
|--------------|--|
| | 7/30/12 16:3: (?) Ignore Report |
| \checkmark | 25 out of 30 Bluetooth implementations crashed when they |
| | were tested with Bluetooth fuzzing tools. |
| | 7/30/12 16:32 Capture Error |
| | 7/30/12 16:32 Capture Error |
| | Select event to disclose details |
| | Show panel for new events Clear Show All Close |



WHAT IS A FUZZER?

- A fuzzer is therefore a software that deliberately sends out malformed data to the input of a program.
- One of the first who wrote a fuzzer was Barton Miller from the University of Wisconsin.
- He realized that if arbitrary inputs were given to core Unix command line utilities, such as Is, grep or ps, these tools will react in an unexpected way.
- This surprised him, and he started to write one of the first automated tools specifically designed to crash a program.
- In add, he provided public access to his tool source code, the test procedures and raw result data.

- Static and random template-based: It only tests simple requestresponse protocols, or file formats. There is no dynamic functionality involved.
- Block-based fuzzers: They implement an elementary structure for a simple request-response protocol and could contain some basic dynamical functionalities.
- Dynamic generation or evolution based fuzzers: These fuzzers do not automatically understand the fuzzed protocol or file format, but they will absorb it based on a feedback loop from the target system.
- Model-based or simulation-based fuzzers: They implement the tested interface either through a model or a simulation.



CLIENT AND SERVER-SIDE FUZZERS

- Some fuzzers are designed for client side testing and others for server side testing.
- For example a client-side test for HTTP protocol will target browser software.
- Likewise, a server-side fuzzing tests the robustness of a web server.
- Some of the existent fuzzers support both server and client testing, or even middleboxes that simply proxify, forward and analyze protocol traffic.



Well-known fuzzers

 Our goal is not to mention all the existent fuzzers in the security arena, but the more relevant of them are:





THE SULLEY FUZZING FRAMEWORK

- Sulley was authored by two renowned security researchers, Pedram AMINI and Aaron Portnoy.
- It is a fuzzer development and fuzz testing framework consisting of multiple extensible components.
- The real goal of this excellent framework is to simplify not only data representation but to simplify data transmission and target monitoring as well.
- Sulley not only has impressive data generation but includes many other important aspects that new generation fuzzers should provide.



THE POWER OF SULLEY



- Sulley monitors the network and systematically maintains records.
- It instruments and monitors the health of the target, capable of reverting to a known good state using multiple methods.
- It is capable to detect, track and categorize the uncovered faults into the fuzzed application.
- Sulley can also fuzz in parallel mode, which significantly increase the fuzzing speed.
- It can automatically determine what unique sequence of test cases has triggered the faults.



DATA REPRESENTATION

- To represent a dialog or protocol between two computers Sulley used the block-based approach which combines simplicity and flexibility.
- Sulley uses the block-based method to produce individual requests.
- The requests will later be tied together to form what Sulley calls a Session.

s initialize("your request")

- When the basic structure is done, one can start to add primitives, blocks and nested blocks to the request.
- We do not intend to describe all the supported data representation in Sulley. The following slides gives you a preview of what Sulley is capable to do. For more information please consult reference [4].



STATIC AND RANDOM PRIMITIVES



- The simplest primitive is the s_static(), which adds a static unmutating value of an arbitrary length to the request.
- It exists several aliases in Sulley, for example: s_dunno(), s_raw() and s_unknown() are all aliases of the s_static primitive.

s_static("sulley\x00was\x01here\x02")

s_raw("sulley\x00was\x01here\x02")

s_dunno("sulley\x00was\x01here\x02")

s_unknown("sulley\x00was\x01here\x02")



INTEGERS

- ASCII protocols and binary data contains many sized integers values. An example can be the Etag field in HTTP protocol.
- Sulley takes good care to represent this type of information implementing different types of primitives such as:

1 byte: s_byte(), s_char()

- 2 bytes: s_word(), s_short()
- 4 bytes: s_dword(), s_long(), s_int()
- 8 bytes: s_qword(), s_double()



STRINGS AND DELIMITERS



- Hostnames, passwords and usernames are some of the strings that can be found everywhere.
- The Sulley framework provides the s_string() primitive for representing the data string.
- The primitive takes a single and mandatory argument.
- Lets say you would like to fuzz the following string <meta name="robots">, here is how Sulley will understand your whishes:



BLOCKS



- Once the primitives are well defined the next step is to nest them properly within blocks.
- Blocks are defined and opened with s_block_start() and closed with s_block_end().
- Each block must be given a name, specified as the first argument to s_block_start().
- Because we will later analyze a real fuzzing case, we will not give more details about blocks in this slide.



SESSIONS, TARGETS AND AGENTS



- When the requests are defined one must attach them in a session.
- Sulley is efficient to fuzz very deep within a protocol. This is done by linking the requests together. The next example is a sequence of requests which are tied together:



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (1)

- HIGH-TECH BRIDGE
- Let's stop with theory and analyse a real case study about a vulnerability found in October 15th by High-Tech Bridge Security Research Lab.
- The flaw was found in a media webserver with the name of **TVMOBiLi**.
- After fuzzing for a while we can find the possibility to crash the entire server just by sending malicious HTTP crafted requests to it.
- In the following slides we will explain how the setup of Sulley can be done, so as to better understand the framework, and we will also show the first crash that Sulley caught.
- Studying or reversing the vulnerable code in detail is out of the scope of this document. More information about this vulnerability can be found <u>here</u>.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (2)

- Our scenario relies in a VMware Workstation environment with two Windows XP SP3 machines up to date.
- The attacker machine has the IP address 192.168.175.130 and the victim machine IP is 192.168.175.129.
- When fuzzing with Sulley or other fuzzing framework, it is very important that the Attacker and Victim machine are in an isolated environment.
- Sulley will send network packets at a respectable speed, so if your environment is well isolated this will increase efficiency and you will not disturb other hosts.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (3)







A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (4)

 Let's first check the python script that takes care of the HTTP fuzz protocol.



- First of all we create our Sulley request. Then we define a s_group primitive that will contain all the HTTP methods that we would like to fuzz.
- Later between two s_block primitives we define our string and delimiters in order to perfectly respect the HTTP protocol definition. Finally we named this file httpcallAX.py



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (5)



Now is time to define our main session file and its agents.



- The session file imports our httpcallAX module previously created.
 Then the Sulley session name is defined.
- Later the target information is specified within the IP address and the TCP port to connect to.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (6)

The Sulley network monitor and process monitor agents are defined too. We will give more information on them later.



- The name of the target binary is provided into the procmon_options block.
- It's very important to provide to Sulley the right command in order to stop and start the target application.
- With these commands Sulley will be able to properly restart the application if a crash is produced. We will name this file kickfuzz.py.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (7)







A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (8)

- The Sulley process monitor agent is responsible for perceiving errors which may occur during fuzzing process.
- This agent is hard coded to bind to TCP port 26002 and accepts connections from the Sulley session over the PedRPC custom binary protocol.
- After processing each individual test case, Sulley contacts the process agent in order to determine if a fault was detected.
- If a fault is detected, information concerning the nature of the crash is transmitted to the Sulley session in order to display it onto the embedded Sulley Web server.
- All the crashes are logged for posterior analysis, which is very useful to a security researcher.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (9)

HIGH-TECH

Here is the command line that appropriately starts the process agent.

python c:\sulley\process_monitor.py -c c:\sulley\audits\tvMobiliService.crash -p "tvMobiliService.exe"

- The filename to serialize the crash bin class is defined in the audits directory.
- The process name to search for and attach to is defined using the -p option.
- We could also use the -L option in order to increase the fuzzing process verbosity.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (10)

- The Sulley network monitor agent is responsible for monitoring network communications and logging them to PCAP files.
- This agent binds to TCP port 26001 and accepts connections from the Sulley session over the PedRPC custom binary protocol.
- Once the test case has been successfully transmitted, Sulley contacts this agent requesting it to flush recorded traffic to a PCAP file on disk.
- The PCAP files are named by test case number. This agent does not have to be launched on the same system as the target software.
- Let's see how we start the network agent from the command line.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (11)



Here is the command line that properly starts the network agent.

python c:\sulley\network_monitor.py -d 0 -f "src or dst port 30888" -P c:\sulley\audits\ -1 5

- First of all we define the Ethernet device to be used in order to sniff the network traffic. In this particular case the target device is 0.
- The PCAP filter is setup to target the TCP port 30888, which is the default TCP port where our vulnerable application listens to.
- Finally, we specify the path to store our test files and we fix the verbosity to the level five in order to have the most complete log messages.



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (12)

Here are the agents when they are started on the victim machine:

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE



A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (13)

06:02.31] xmitting: [1.108]

6:02.32] netmon captured 929 bytes for test case #108

 Sulley has also a Web service who listens on TCP port 26000, which permits to observe produced crashes.

```
06:02.46] xmitting: [1.111]
06:02.46] xmitting: [1.111]
06:02.47] netmon captured 930 bytes for test case #111
```

In this example we are just going to attach immunity debugger to the vulnerable process during the first crash.

[06:03.02] netmon captured 658 bytes for test case #113 [06:03.02] fuzzing 114 of 9062 [06:03.05] xmitting: [1.114]

 After lunching the Sulley fuzzer on the attacker machine, the magic of Sulley can be observed. :]

```
[06:03.17] netmon captured 3032 bytes for test case #116
[06:03.17] fuzzing 117 of 9062
[06:03.21] xmitting: [1.117]
[06:03.22] netmon captured 1124 bytes for test case #117
[06:03.22] fuzzing 118 of 9062
[06:03.26] xmitting: [1.118]
[06:03.27] netmon captured 1924 bytes for test case #118
[06:03.27] fuzzing 119 of 9062
[06:03.31] xmitting: [1.119]
[06:03.32] netmon captured 3032 bytes for test case #119
[06:03.32] netmon captured 3032 bytes for test case #119
[06:03.32] mitting: [1.120]
[06:03.39] xmitting: [1.120]
[06:03.40] netmon captured 824 bytes for test case #120
[06:03.40] netmon captured 939 bytes for test case #121
[06:03.46] netmon captured 939 bytes for test case #121
[06:03.46] netmon captured 932 bytes for test case #122
[06:03.50] xmitting: [1.122]
[06:03.51] netmon captured 932 bytes for test case #122
[06:03.55] xmitting: [1.123]
[06:03.55] mitting: [1.123]
[06:03.57] netmon captured 933 bytes for test case #123
[06:03.57] netmon captured 933 bytes for test case #124
[06:03.57] netmon captured 933 bytes for test case #123
[06:03.57] fuzzing 124 of 9062
[06:03.57] netmon captured 933 bytes for test case #124
[06:03.57] netmon captured 933 bytes for test case #123
[06:03.57] fuzzing 124 of 9062
[06:03.57] fuzzing 124 of 9062
[06:04.02] netmon captured 493 bytes for test case #124
```





A REAL CASE FUZZING EXAMPLE (14)



 After almost seven minutes, Sulley wins over its opponent and finds the first fault.

| 7C90EB94 | C3 | RETN | | ▲ Registers (FPU) < < < < < < < |
|-----------|---------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| 7C90EB95 | 8DA424 00000000 | LEA ESP, DWORD PTR SS: [ESP] | | RAX 7FFD8000 |
| 7C90EB9C | 8D6424 00 | LEA ESP, DWORD PTR SS: [ESP] | | KCX 0088520 |
| 7C90EBA0 | 90 | NOP | | RDX 7C90KB94 ntdll KiFastSystemCallRet |
| 7C90EBA1 | 90 | NOP | | REX 78820KCD MSVCR100 stricmn |
| 7C90EBA2 | 90 | NOP | | KSP 0088F78C |
| 7C90EBA3 | 90 | NOP | | KBP 0088779C |
| 7C90EBA4 | 90 | NOP | | RST DITIEDO |
| 7C90EBA5 | 8D5424 08 | LEA EDX, DWORD PTR SS: [ESP+8] | | RDT 117180D2 AGCTT # / PDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBDBBBBBB |
| 7C90EBA9 | CD 2E | INT 2E | | |
| 7C90EBAB | C3 | | | EIP 7C90EB94 ntdll.KiFastSystemCallRet |
| 7C90EBAC | 55 | PUSH EBP | | C 0 RS 0023 32bit. 0(FFFFFFFF) |
| 7C90EBAD | SBEC | MOV EBP, ESP | | P 1 CS 001B 32bit 0(FFFFFFFF) |
| 7C90EBAF | 90 | PUSHFD | | A 0 SS 0023 32bit 0(FFFFFFFF) |
| 7C90EBB0 | 81EC D0020000 | SUB ESP,2D0 | | 2 0 DS 0023 32bit. 0(FFFFFFFF) |
| 7C90EBB6 | 8985 DCFDFFFF | MOV DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-224],EAX | | S 1 FS 003B 32bit 7FFDD000(FFF) |
| 7C90EBBC | 898D D8FDFFFF | MOV DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-228],ECX | | T O GS 0000 MULL |
| 7C90EBC2 | 8B45 08 | MOV EAX, DWORD PTR SS: [EBP+8] | | |
| 7C90EBC5 | 8B4D 04 | MOV ECX.DWORD PTR SS: [EBP+4] | | 0 0 LastErr EPROP FILENAME EXCED PANGE (000000CE) |
| Return to | 7C90E89A (ntdl1. | .7C90E89A) | | |
| | | | | EFL 00000286 (NO,NB,NE,A,S,PE,L,LE) |
| | | | | STO empty 4.9406564584124654000e-324 |
| Address | Hex dump | ASCII | ▲ 00B8F78C | 7C90E89A šèll RETURN to ntd11.7C90E89A |
| 0171F3D2 | | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 7BBBBBBBBBB | 0088\$790 | 7C801E36 6DE RETURN to kernel32.7C801E36 from ntdll.ZwTerminateProcess |
| 0171F3F2 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 0088F794 | FFFFFFF yyyy |
| 0171F3F2 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F798 | C0000409 .D.À |
| 0171F402 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F79C (| roobsfad4 ôú,. |
| 0171F412 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7A0 | 003CA6A1 ;;<. RETURN to HttpUtil.003CA6A1 from kernel32.TerminateProcess |
| 0171F422 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7A4 | FFFFFFF yyyy |
| 0171F432 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7A8 | C0000409 .D.À |
| 0171F442 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7AC | 057C4142 BAID |
| 0171F452 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7B0 | FAS3BEBD +**4fú |
| 0171F462 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7B4 | 78AB06C2 AO«x RETURN to MSVCR100.78AB06C2 from ntdll.Rt1SetLastWin32Error |
| 0171F472 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7B8 | 000000CK Î |
| 0171F482 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7BC | 7C801A24 \$O€ RETURN to kernel32.CreateFileA |
| 0171F492 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 8BBBBBBBBBB | 0088770 | 00000100 |
| 0171F4A2 | 42 42 42 42 42 43 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 DDDDDDDD | 00B8F7C4 | 78AB07BA °D«x RETURN to MSVCR100.78AB07BA from MSVCR100.78AB0698 |
| 0171F4B2 | 42 42 42 42 42 42 | 2 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 BBBBBBBB | 00B8F7C8 | 78B272F6 ör ² x RETURN to MSVCR100.78B272F6 from MSVCR100errno |
| 01718402 | 47 47 47 47 47 47 4 | 7 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 47 88888888 | 00B8F7CC | 0000000 |



- Sulley is a powerful fuzzer consisting of multiple extensible components.
- It's very easy to use. Finding security issues with this framework can be very easy, even in complex applications.
- Sulley is an Open Source software and can be categorized as one of the greatest fuzzers nowadays.
- In future articles we will discuss how more complex vulnerabilities can also be discovered using the power of Sulley framework.



REFERENCES

- [1] Fuzzing The software security testing and quality assurance (Ari Takanen Jared D. Demott – Charles Miller from Artech House)
- [2] Fuzzing Brute Force Vulnerability Testing (Michael Sutton Adam Grenne Pedram Amini H. D. Moore – Addison-Wesley)
- [3] Analysis of Mutation and Generation-Based Fuzzing Charlie Miller http://ise.virtual.vps-host.net/files/papers/analysisfuzzing.pdf
- [4] The Sulley Fuzzing Framework www.fuzzing.org/wp-content/SulleyManual.pdf
- [5] http://pentest.cryptocity.net/files/fuzzing/sulley/introducing_sulley.pdf



Thank you for reading





