# FY 2021

Inspector General Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (FISMA) Reporting Metrics Version 1.1

May 12, 2021

# **Document History**

Version	Date	Comments	Sec/Page
1.0	02/19/2021	Initial draft	All
1.0	Various	Updated criteria references throughout the metrics	All
1.0	Various	Added a Frequently Asked Question (FAQ) Section	Pg. 10
1.0	Various	Incorporated the overall questions related to the extent of implementation of policies and procedures within individual metrics	Throughout domains
1.0	Various	Clarified metric questions related to the integration of cybersecurity risk management and enterprise risk management	Q's 5, 7, 9, and 10
1.0	Various	Added a new metric on vulnerability disclosure practices	Q 24
1.0	3/12/2021	Draft issued for comment	All
1.1	4/6/2021 - 5/12/2021	Addressed comments received	Various
1.1	5/12/2021	Included a proposed weighted average maturity calculation for consideration in a future update to these metrics	Pg. 10-11
1.1	5/12/2021	Added a new Supply Chain Risk Management domain within Identify, which will not affect the framework function score.	Pg. 23-26
1.1	5/12/2021	Final issued	All

# Contents

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS
Overview4
Submission Deadline4
Background and Methodology4
FISMA Metrics Ratings6
Key Changes to the FY 2021 IG FISMA Metrics7
Frequently Asked Questions9
Proposed Weighted Metrics Calculation10
IDENTIFY FUNCTION AREA
Table 5: Risk Management13
Table 6: Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)         23
PROTECT FUNCTION AREA
Table 7: Configuration Management    27
Table 8: Identity and Access Management
Table 9: Data Protection and Privacy40
Table 10: Security Training44
DETECT FUNCTION AREA
Table 11: ISCM48
RESPOND FUNCTION AREA
Table 12: Incident Response51
RECOVER FUNCTION AREA
Table 13: Contingency Planning

# **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

## Overview

The Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (FISMA) requires each agency Inspector General (IG), or an independent external auditor, to conduct an annual independent evaluation to determine the effectiveness of the information security program and practices of its respective agency. Accordingly, the fiscal year (FY) 2021 IG FISMA Reporting Metrics contained in this document provide reporting requirements across key areas to be addressed in the independent evaluations of agencies' information security programs.

### **Submission Deadline**

In accordance with FISMA and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum M-21-02, *Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Guidance on Federal Information Security and Privacy Management Requirements*, all Federal agencies are to submit their IG metrics into the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) <u>CyberScope</u> application by October 29, 2021. IG evaluations should reflect the status of agency information security programs from the completion of testing/fieldwork conducted for FISMA in 2021. Furthermore, IGs are encouraged to work with management at their respective agencies to establish a cutoff date to facilitate timely and comprehensive evaluation of the effectiveness of information security programs and controls.

### Background and Methodology

The FY 2021 IG FISMA Reporting Metrics were developed as a collaborative effort amongst OMB, DHS, and the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE), in consultation with the Federal Chief Information Officer (CIO) Council and other stakeholders. The FY 2021 metrics represent a continuation of work begun in FY 2016, when the IG metrics were aligned with the five function areas in the *National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity* (Cybersecurity Framework): Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, and Recover. The Cybersecurity Framework provides agencies with a common structure for identifying and managing cybersecurity risks across the enterprise and provides IGs with guidance for assessing the maturity of controls to address those risks.

Table 1 below provides an overview of the IG metrics by NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) function area and related categories. The FY 21 IG metrics include a new Supply Chain Risk Management domain within the Identify function area.

IG Metric Function Area and Related Domains <sup>1</sup>	<b>Related CSF Categories</b>
Identify (Risk Management)	Asset Management (ID.AM), Business Environment
	(ID.BE), Governance (ID.GV), Risk Assessment
	(ID.RA), and Risk Management Strategy (ID.RM)
Identify (Supply Chain Risk Management)	Supply Chain Risk Management (ID.SC)
Protect (Configuration Management)	Information Protection Processes and Procedures
	(PR.IP)
Protect (Identity and Access Management)	Identity Management and Access Control (PR.AC)
Protect (Data Protection and Privacy)	Data Security (PR.DS)
Protect (Security Training)	Awareness and Training (PR.AT)
Detect (Information Security Continuous	
Monitoring)	Security Continuous Monitoring (DE.CM)
Respond (Incident Response)	Response Planning (RS.RP), Communications
	(RS.CO), Analysis (RS.AN), Mitigation (RS.MI), and
	Improvements (RS.IM)
Recover (Contingency Planning)	Recovery Planning (RC.RP), Improvements (RC.IM),
	and Communications (RC.CO)

#### Table 1: IG Metrics and NIST Cybersecurity Framework Function Areas and Categories

IGs are required to assess the effectiveness of information security programs on a maturity model spectrum, in which the foundational levels ensure that agencies develop sound policies and procedures and the advanced levels capture the extent that agencies institutionalize those policies and procedures. Table 2 below details the five maturity model levels: ad hoc, defined, consistently implemented, managed and measurable, and optimized.<sup>2</sup> Within the context of the maturity model, a Level 4, *Managed and Measurable*, information security program is operating at an effective level of security. NIST provides additional guidance for determining effectiveness of security controls.<sup>3</sup> IGs should consider both their and management's assessment of the unique missions, resources, and challenges when assessing the maturity of information security programs. Management's consideration of agency mission, resources, and challenges should be documented in the agency's assessment of risk as discussed in OMB Circular A-123, the U.S. Government Accountability Office's (GAO) Green Book, and NIST SP 800-37/800-39.

<sup>3</sup> <u>NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53, Rev. 5, Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and</u> <u>Organizations</u>, defines security control effectiveness as the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please refer to the NIST glossary available at <u>https://csrc.nist.gov/glossary</u> for definitions of the function areas and domains.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The maturity level descriptions outlined in Table 2 provide foundational principles that guided the definition of the specific maturity level indicators and capabilities outlined in the IG metric questions. IGs should consider these descriptions when concluding on the overall effectiveness of specific functions, domains, and the information security program overall.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Organizations</u>, defines security control effectiveness as the extent to which the controls are implemented correctly, operating as intended, and producing the desired outcome with respect to meeting the security requirements for the information system in its operational environment or enforcing/mediating established security policies.

Maturity Level	Maturity Level Description
Level 1: Ad-hoc	Policies, procedures, and strategies are not formalized; activities are performed in an ad-hoc, reactive manner.
Level 2: Defined	Policies, procedures, and strategies are formalized and documented but not consistently implemented.
Level 3: Consistently Implemented	Policies, procedures, and strategies are consistently implemented, but quantitative and qualitative effectiveness measures are lacking.
Level 4: Managed and Measurable	Quantitative and qualitative measures on the effectiveness of policies, procedures, and strategies are collected across the organization and used to assess them and make necessary changes.
Level 5: Optimized	Policies, procedures, and strategies are fully institutionalized, repeatable, self-generating, consistently implemented, and regularly updated based on a changing threat and technology landscape and business/mission needs.

### Table 2: IG Evaluation Maturity Levels

# **FISMA Metrics Ratings**

As noted earlier, each agency has a unique mission, cybersecurity challenges, and resources to address those challenges. Within the maturity model context, agencies should perform a risk assessment and identify the optimal maturity level that achieves cost-effective security based on their missions and risks faced, risk appetite, and risk tolerance level. The results of this assessment should be considered by IGs when determining effectiveness ratings with respect to the FISMA metrics. For example, if an agency has defined and formalized specific parameters (e.g. control parameters/tailoring decisions documented in security plans/risk assessments), IGs should consider the applicability of these parameters and determine whether to consider these when making maturity determinations.

Ratings throughout the nine domains will be determined by a simple majority, where the most frequent level (i.e. mode) across the questions will serve as the domain rating. For example, if there are seven questions in a domain, and the agency receives Defined ratings for three questions and Managed and Measurable ratings for four questions, then the domain rating is Managed and Measurable. OMB and DHS will ensure that these domain ratings are automatically scored when entered into CyberScope, and IGs and CIOs should note that these scores will rate the agency at the higher level in instances when two or more levels are the most frequently rated.

Similar to FY 2020, IGs have the discretion to determine the overall effectiveness rating and the rating for each of the Cybersecurity Framework functions (e.g., Protect, Detect) at the maturity level of their choosing. For FY 2021, IG's also have the discretion to determine the overall effectiveness rating at the domain (e.g., supply chain risk management, configuration management) level. Using this approach, the IG may determine that a particular domain, function area, and/or the agency's information security program is effective at maturity level lower than Level 4. The rationale for this is to provide greater flexibility for the IGs, while considering the agency-specific factors discussed above.

OMB strongly encourages IGs to use the domain ratings to inform the overall function ratings, and to use the five function ratings to inform the overall agency rating. For example, if the majority of an agency's ratings in the Protect-Configuration Management, Protect-Identity and Access Management, Protect-Data

Protection and Privacy, and Protect-Security Training domains are Managed and Measurable, the IGs are encouraged to rate the agency's Protect function as Managed and Measurable. Similarly, IGs are encouraged to apply the same simple majority rule described above to inform the overall agency rating. IGs should provide comments in CyberScope to explain the rationale for their effectiveness ratings. Furthermore, in CyberScope, IGs will be required to provide comments explaining the rationale for why a given metric is rated lower than a Level 4 maturity. Comments in CyberScope should reference how the agency's risk appetite and tolerance level with respect to cost-effective security, including compensating controls, were factored into the IGs decision.

## Key Changes to the FY 2021 IG FISMA Metrics

One of the goals of the annual FISMA evaluations is to assess agencies' progress toward achieving outcomes that strengthen Federal cybersecurity, including implementing the Administration's priorities and best practices. One such area is increasing the maturity of the Federal government's Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) practices. As noted in the Federal Acquisition Supply Chain Security Act of 2018, agencies are required to assess, avoid, mitigate, accept, or transfer supply chain risks. The FY 2021 IG FISMA Reporting Metrics include a new domain on Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) within the Identify function. This new domain focuses on the maturity of agency SCRM strategies, policies and procedures, plans, and processes to ensure that products, system components, systems, and services of external providers are consistent with the organization's cybersecurity and supply chain risk management requirements. The new domain references SCRM criteria in <u>NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-53, Rev.</u> 5, Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations. To provide agencies with sufficient time to fully implement NIST 800-53, Rev 5., in accordance with OMB A-130, these new metrics should not be considered for the purposes of the Identify framework function rating.

Also, within the Identify function, specific metric questions have been reorganized and reworded to focus on the degree to which cyber risk management processes are integrated with enterprise risk management (ERM) processes. As an example, IGs are directed to evaluate how cybersecurity risk registers are used to communicate information at the information system, mission/business process, and organizational levels. These changes are consistent with NIST Interagency Report 8286, "Integrating Cybersecurity and Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)," which provides guidance to help organizations improve the cybersecurity risk information they provide as inputs to their enterprise ERM programs.<sup>4</sup>

Furthermore, OMB has issued guidance on improving vulnerability identification, management, and remediation. Specifically, Memorandum M-20-32, <u>Improving Vulnerability Identification, Management, and Remediation</u>, September 2, 2020, provides guidance to federal agencies on collaborating with members of the public to find and report vulnerabilities on federal information systems. In addition, DHS Binding Operational Directive 20-01, <u>Develop and Publish a Vulnerability Disclosure Policy</u>, September 2, 2020, provides guidance on the development and publishing of an agency's vulnerability disclosure policy and supporting handling procedures. The IG FISMA Reporting Metrics include a new question (#24) to measure the extent to which agencies utilize a vulnerability disclosure policy (VDP) as part of their vulnerability management program for internet-accessible federal systems.

In addition, the IG metric questions related to the implementation of policies and procedures have been reorganized and streamlined to reduce duplication and redundancies. Furthermore, a new Frequently Asked Question's (FAQ) section provides additional guidance to IGs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> NISTIR 8286, *Integrating Cybersecurity and Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)*, October 2020.

## **FISMA Metrics Evaluation Guide**

One of the goals of the maturity model reporting approach is to ensure consistency in IG FISMA evaluations across the Federal government. To that end in FY 2018, a collaborative effort amongst OMB, DHS, and CIGIE was undertaken to develop an evaluation guide to accompany the IG FISMA metrics. The guide is designed to provide a baseline of suggested sources of evidence that can be used by IGs as part of their FISMA evaluations. The guide also includes suggested types of analysis that IGs may perform to assess capabilities in given areas. In FY 2019, the evaluation guide was strengthened to include more detailed testing steps and methodologies for IGs to utilize in the function area of Identify (Risk Management). While updates to the evaluation guide were not made in FY 2020, OMB, DHS, and CIGIE plan to continue to enhance the evaluation guide to cover all function areas.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Updates to the evaluation guide will be posted on the <u>DHS FISMA website</u> subsequent to issuance of the metrics.

# Frequently Asked Questions

- 1. To what extent should IGs utilize NIST SP 800-53, Rev. 5, as criteria for FISMA FY 2021 evaluations?
  - In accordance with OMB A-130, agencies are expected to meet the requirements of, and be in compliance with, NIST standards and guidelines within one year of their respective publication dates unless otherwise directed by OMB. The one-year compliance date for revisions to NIST publications applies only to new or updated material in the publications. For information systems under development or for legacy systems undergoing significant changes, agencies are expected to meet the requirements of, and be in compliance with, NIST standards and guidelines immediately upon deployment of the systems.
  - The IG FISMA metrics reference NIST SP 800-53, Rev. 4 criteria for all domains, except the SCRM domain. As applicable and in accordance with OMB A-130, IGs should utilize NIST SP 800-53, Rev. 5 as criteria for systems under development or legacy systems undergoing significant changes. Due to government-wide priorities and focus areas, IGs should utilize NIST 800-53, Rev. 5 as criteria for determining agency maturity in the SCRM domain and related metrics.
- 2. Do agencies need to meet all (100%) of the maturity indicators and criteria for previous levels before they can be rated at a higher maturity level?
  - No. FISMA requires agencies to provide information security protections commensurate with the risk and magnitude of the harm resulting from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of information and in information systems. As noted earlier, IGs should consider both their and management's assessment of the unique missions, resources, and challenges when assessing the maturity of information security programs. As such, IGs should use a risk-based approach when determining the impact of control deficiencies on overall maturity levels. IGs are encouraged not to use total compliance across maturity indicators and IG test cases, by itself, as a sole determinant of agency maturity.
- 3. Does a control exception (one or a few) identified in a sample automatically preclude an Agency from receiving a particular maturity rating?
  - No. As noted above, IGs should use a risk-based approach when determining the impact of control deficiencies on overall maturity levels. IGs are encouraged not to use total compliance across maturity indicators and IG test cases, by itself, as a sole determinant of agency maturity. IG should consider compensating controls and other agency-specific risk factors.
- 4. With respect to the Identity-Risk Management section, updates have been made to questions on cybersecurity and enterprise risk management. Are IGs being directed to audit or evaluate agencies' enterprise risk management programs?
  - No. The intent of these questions is to gauge the degree of integration between cyber risk management and ERM. IGs are encouraged to refer to NIST Interagency Report 8286, <u>Integrating Cybersecurity and Enterprise Risk Management</u>, for additional information.

## **Proposed Weighted Metrics Calculation**

Since the FY 2017 FISMA reporting process, IGs have been directed to utilize a mode-based scoring approach to assess agency maturity levels. Under this approach, ratings throughout the reporting domains were determined by a simple majority, where the most frequent level (i.e., the mode) across the questions served as the domain rating. The same logic was applied at the function and overall information security program level. While this approach has provided an important baseline measure of the maturity of agencies' information security programs, all the metric questions have been weighted equally.

To drive continued improvements in cybersecurity maturity across the federal landscape and focus agency efforts, this document introduces a pilot concept of weighting specific FISMA metrics for assessment and scoring. Ten priority metrics, shown in Table 3, have been proposed based on a combination of the lowest average performing metrics from previous assessments, administration priorities, and the highest value controls. As part of the proposed weighted average approach to scoring, these priority metrics would be weighted twice as much in the maturity calculation, which is described in greater detail below. This pilot approach will help evaluate the impacts of these metrics and prepare agencies for the possibility of changing the calculation process in a future update to this document.

The overall maturity of the agency's information security program would be calculated based on the average rating of the individual function areas (Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, and Recover). For example, if the weighted average maturity of two of the function areas is Level 3 – Consistently Implemented, and Level 4 – Managed and Measurable for the remaining three areas, then the information security program rating (average of 3.60) would be Level 4 – Managed and Measurable. The outcomes of this pilot will be shared with the CISO council and CIGIE for further consideration. Table 4 below provides a hypothetical example of an IG evaluation for the Identify-Risk Management area. Priority metrics within the Identity-Risk Management domain are highlighted in blue.

		Cybersecurity	
		Function and	
Metric	Description	Domain	Reason
5	Cybersecurity risk management and integration with enterprise risk management (ERM)	Identify – Risk Management	Supports Administration's focus to improve integration of cybersecurity risk management within broader organizational risk management to help drive conversations for additional cybersecurity resources.
10	Automated view of risk	Identify – Risk Management	Improves government's ability to report and analyze cybersecurity data for use in decision making, supports Administration's focus on automated reporting.
31	Strong authentication measures – privileged users	Protect – Identity and Access Management	Supports Administration's focus on zero trust architectures, reducing privilege escalation, and implementation of M-19-17.
32	Least privilege and separation of duties	Protect – Identity and Access Management	Supports Administration's focus on zero trust architectures, reducing privilege escalation, and implementation of M-19-17.
36	PII security controls	Protect – Data Protection and Privacy	Supports Administration's focus on encrypting data at rest and in transit.
37	Security controls for exfiltration	Protect – Data Protection and Privacy	Supports Administration's focus on encrypting data at rest and in transit.
47	Information Security Continuous Monitoring (ISCM) policies and strategy	Detect – ISCM	Improves government's ability to report and analyze cybersecurity data for use in decision making, supports Administration's focus on automated reporting.
54	Incident detection and analysis	Respond – Incident Response	Supports Administration's focus on continued improvement of incident detection and handling to both address Congressional inquiries, as well as improving the government's ability to better identify and respond to the continued advancement of tactics used by adversaries around the world.
55	Incident handling	Respond – Incident Response	Supports Administration's focus on continued improvement of incident detection and handling to both address Congressional inquiries, as well as improving the government's ability to better identify and respond to the continued advancement of tactics used by adversaries around the world.
63	Testing of information system contingency plans	Recover – Contingency Planning	Critical component to Continuity of Operations Plans (COOPs), where rapid shift to telework from COVID-19 and SolarWinds incidents are recent examples of the importance of testing these plans.

 Table 3: Proposed Priority Metrics

 Cybersecurity

Metric Number	Metric Descriptor	IG Rating (Weight)	Weighted Factor
1	Inventory	Level 4 (1)	4
2	Hardware asset management	Level 3 (1)	3
3	Software asset management	Level 2 (1)	2
4	System categorization	Level 4 (1)	4
5	Cybersecurity risk management and integration with ERM	Level 2 (2)	4
6	Information security architecture	Level 4 (1)	4
7	Roles and responsibilities	Level 3 (1)	3
8	POA&M	Level 3 (1)	3
9	Risk communication	Level 4 (1)	4
10	Automated View of Risk	Level 3 (2)	6
Total		12*	37

## Table 4: Example of Proposed Weighted Average Maturity Calculation

\* The *Weighted Average* is calculated by multiplying selected metrics by the Priority Metric Weight of 2.0 and then dividing the new total for each domain. For example, the Risk Management domain has 10 metrics of which 2 are Priority metrics so the total maturity for this domain is then divided by 12 instead of 10.)

Weighted Average Maturity = 3.08 = Level 3 Consistently Implemented<sup>6</sup>

This same approach would be used for all domains and function areas. The rating for the Protect function is a weighted average of all metrics in the domains that comprise the Protect function, such as the Protect-Configuration Management, Protect-Identity and Access, Protect-Security Training, and Protect-Data Protection and Privacy domains. The overall information security program maturity rating is then an average of the function level ratings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Weighted average maturities will be calculated to two decimal points and rounded to the nearest whole number (i.e., if the number after the decimal point is less than 5, it will be rounded <u>down</u> to the next lower maturity level; if the number is greater than or equal to 5, it will be rounded <u>up</u> to the next higher maturity level).

# **IDENTIFY FUNCTION AREA**

# Table 5: Risk Management

	Question			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
1.	organization maintain a comprehensive and accurate inventory of its information systems (including cloud systems, public facing websites, and third- party systems), and system	The organization has not defined its policies, procedures, and processes for developing and maintaining a comprehensive and accurate inventory of its information systems and system interconnections.	The organization has defined its policies, procedures, and processes for developing and maintaining a comprehensive and accurate inventory of its information systems and system interconnections.	The organization maintains a comprehensive and accurate inventory of its information systems (including cloud systems, public-facing websites, and third-party systems), and system interconnections.	The organization ensures that the information systems included in its inventory are subject to the monitoring processes defined within the organization's ISCM strategy.	The organization uses automation to develop and maintain a centralized information system inventory that includes hardware and software components from all organizational information systems. The centralized inventory is updated in a near- real time basis.

ſ	Question			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
2	elements/taxonomy to develop and maintain an up-to-date inventory of hardware assets (including GFE and Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) mobile devices) connected to the organization's network with the detailed information	The organization has not defined policies, procedures, and processes for using	The organization has defined policies, procedures, and processes for using standard data elements/taxonomy to develop and maintain an up- to-date inventory of hardware assets connected to the organization's network with the detailed information	Consistently Implemented The organization consistently utilizes its standard data elements/taxonomy to develop and maintain an up-to-date inventory of hardware assets connected to the organization's network and uses this taxonomy to inform which assets can/cannot be introduced into the network.	Managed and Measurable The organization ensures that the hardware assets connected to the network are covered by an organization-wide hardware asset management capability and are subject to the monitoring processes defined within the organization's ISCM strategy. For mobile devices, the agency enforces the capability to deny access to agency enterprise services when	<b>Optimized</b> The organization employs automation to track the life cycle of the organization's hardware assets with processes that limit the manual/procedural methods for asset management. Further, hardware inventories are regularly updated as part of the organization's enterprise architecture current and future states.
	Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Framework, v2; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 3.9, CSF: ID.AM-1; NIST SP 800-37, Rev. 2: Task P-10).				security and operating system updates have not been applied within a given period based on agency policy or guidance.	

	Ouestion			Maturity Level	evel		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized	
3.	To what extent does the	The organization has not	The organization has defined	The organization consistently	The organization ensures that	The organization employs	
	•	defined policies, procedures, and processes for using	1 , 1	utilizes its standard data elements/taxonomy to	the software assets, including mobile applications as	automation to track the life cycle of the organization's	
	• •			develop and maintain an up-	appropriate, on the network	software assets (and their	
		5 1	develop and maintain an up-to-	•	(and their associated	associated licenses), including	
		and maintain an up-to-date inventory of software assets	•	assets and licenses, including for mobile applications,	licenses), are covered by an organization-wide software	for mobile applications, with processes that limit the	
	e	and licenses, including for		**	asset management (or Mobile	manual/procedural methods for	
	-	mobile applications, utilized in	**	-	Device Management)	asset management. Further,	
		0		taxonomy to inform which	capability and are subject to	software inventories are	
	.,	with the detailed information			the monitoring processes	regularly updated as part of the	
	SP 800-137; NIST IR 8011;	necessary for tracking and	tracking and reporting.	introduced into the network.	defined within the	organization's enterprise	
	FEA Framework, v2; FY 2021	reporting.			organization's ISCM strategy.	architecture current and future	
	CIO FISMA Metrics: 1.2.5,					states.	
	1.3.3, 1.3.9, 1.3.10, 3.10; CSF:				For mobile devices, the		
	ID.AM-2; NIST SP 800-37,				agency enforces the capability		
	Rev. 2: Task P-10)?				to prevent the execution of		
					unauthorized software (e.g.,		
					blacklist, whitelist, or		
					cryptographic		
					containerization).		

Question Maturity Level						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
4.	communicated the importance/priority of information systems in enabling its missions and business functions, including for high value assets (NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4: RA-2, PM-7, and PM- 11; NIST SP 800-60; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2); CSF: ID.BE-3, ID.AM-5, and ID.SC-2; FIPS 199; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 1.1; OMB M-19-03; NIST SP 800-37, Rev. 2: Task C-2, C-3, P-4, P-12, P-13, S-1 – S-3, NIST IR 8170 )?	reviewing, and communicating the importance/priority of information systems in enabling its missions and business functions, including for high value assets, as appropriate. In addition, the organization has not defined its policies, procedures, and processes for controls allocation, selection, and tailoring based on the importance/priority of its information systems.	The organization has defined policies, procedures, and processes for categorizing, reviewing, and communicating the importance/priority of information systems in enabling its missions and business functions, including for high value assets, as appropriate. In addition, the organization has defined policies, procedures, and processes for controls allocation, selection and tailoring based on the importance/priority of its information systems.	The organization consistently implements its policies, procedures, and processes for system categorization, review, and communication, including for high value assets, as appropriate. Security categorizations consider potential adverse impacts to organization operations, organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation. System categorization levels are used to guide risk management decisions, such as the allocation, selection, and implementation of appropriate control baselines.	resources based on system categorization, including for the protection of high value assets, as appropriate, through	The organization utilizes impact-level prioritization for additional granularity, and cybersecurity framework profiles, as appropriate, to support risk-based decision- making.

Ouestion	_			Maturity Level		
Question		Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
<ol> <li>To what extent doe organization ensur information systen are adequately mai organizational, mis process, and inforr levels (NIST SP 80 SP 800-53 Rev. 4: NIST IR 8286, CS ID.RM-3; OMB A M-16-17; OMB M SP 800-37 (Rev. 2 P-3, P-14, R-2, and</li> </ol>	re that n security risks naged at the ssion/business mation system 00-39; NIST RA-3, PM-9; SF: ID RM-1 – L-123; OMB L-17-25; NIST C): Tasks P-2,	The organization has not defined and communicated the policies, procedures and processes it utilizes to manage the cybersecurity risks associated with operating and maintaining its information systems. At a minimum, the policies, procedures, and processes do not cover the following areas from a cybersecurity perspective: - Risk Framing - Risk assessment - Risk response - Risk monitoring	The organization has defined and communicated the policies, procedures and processes it utilizes to manage the cybersecurity risks associated with operating and maintaining its information systems. The policies, procedures, and processes cover cybersecurity risk management at the organizational, mission/business process, and information system levels and address the following components - Risk Framing - Risk assessment - Risk response - Risk monitoring	The organization consistently implements its policies, procedures, and processes to manage the cybersecurity risks associated with operating and maintaining its information systems. The organization ensures that decisions to manage cybersecurity risk at the information system level are informed and guided by risk decisions made at the organizational and mission/business levels. System risk assessments are performed [according to organizational defined time frames] and appropriate security controls to mitigate risks identified are implemented on a consistent basis. The organization utilizes the common vulnerability scoring system, or similar approach, to communicate the characteristics and severity of software vulnerabilities. Further, the organization utilizes a cybersecurity risk register to manage risks, as appropriate, and is consistently capturing and sharing lessons learned on the effectiveness of cybersecurity risk management processes and updating the program accordingly.	The organization utilizes the results of its system level risk assessments, along with other inputs, to perform and maintain an organization-wide cybersecurity and privacy risk assessment. The result of this assessment is documented in a cybersecurity risk register and serve as an input into the organization's enterprise risk management program. The organization consistently monitors the effectiveness of risk responses to ensure that risk tolerances are maintained at an appropriate level. The organization ensures that information in cybersecurity risk registers is obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format and is used to (i) quantify and aggregate security risks, (ii) normalize cybersecurity risk information across organizational units, and (iii) prioritize operational risk response	The cybersecurity risk management program is fully integrated at the organizational, mission/business process, and information system levels, as well as with the entity's enterprise risk management program. Further, the organization's cybersecurity risk management program is embedded into daily decision making across the organization and provides for continuous identification and monitoring to ensure that risk remains within organizationally-defined acceptable levels. The organization utilizes Cybersecurity Framework profiles to align cybersecurity outcomes with mission or business requirements, risk tolerance, and resources of the organization.

	Question	Maturity Level					
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized	
6.	To what extent does the organization utilize an information security architecture to provide a disciplined and structured methodology for managing risk, including risk from the organization's supply chain (Federal Information Technology Acquisition	The organization has not defined an information security architecture and its processes for ensuring that new/acquired hardware/software, including mobile apps, are consistent with its security architecture prior to introducing systems into its	The organization has defined an information security architecture and described how that architecture is integrated into and supports the organization's enterprise architecture. In addition, the organization has defined how it implements system	The organization has consistently implemented its security architecture across the enterprise, business process, and system levels. System security engineering principles are followed and include assessing the impacts to the organizations information security architecture prior to	The organization's information security architecture is integrated with its systems development lifecycle and defines and directs implementation of security methods, mechanisms, and capabilities to both the Information and Communications Technology	The organization uses advanced technologies and techniques for managing supply chain risks. To the extent practicable, the organization can quickly adapt its information security and enterprise architectures to mitigate supply chain risks.	
	Reform Act (FITARA), NIST SP 800-39; NIST SP 800-160; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2) Task P-16; OMB M-19-03; OMB M- 15-14, FEA Framework; NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4: PL-8, SA-3, SA-8, SA-9, SA-12, and PM-9; NIST SP 800-163, Rev. 1 CSF: ID.SC-1 and PR.IP-2; SECURE Technology Act: s. 1326)?	development environment.	security engineering principles and software assurance processes for mobile applications, within its system development life cycle (SDLC).	introducing information system changes into the organization's environment. In addition, the organization employs a software assurance process for mobile applications.	(ICT) supply chain and the organization's information systems.		

	Question			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
7.	Question To what extent have the roles and responsibilities of internal and external stakeholders involved in cybersecurity risk management processes been defined, communicated, and implemented across the organization (NIST SP 800-39: Section 2.3.1, 2.3.2, and Appendix D; NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4: RA-1; CSF: ID.AM-6, ID.RM-1, and ID.GV-2; NIST IR 8286, Section 3.1.1, OMB A-123; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2) Section 2.8 and Task P-1; OMB M-19-03)?	Roles and responsibilities for cybersecurity risk management have not been defined and communicated across the organization. Further, the organization has not defined the relevant work roles for stages in the cybersecurity risk management process and which roles are responsible, accountable, consulted, or informed about various activities, as appropriate. In addition, the organization has not defined the	Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders involved in cybersecurity risk management processes have been defined and communicated across the organization. This includes the relevant work roles for stages in the cybersecurity risk management process and which roles are responsible, accountable, consulted, or informed about various activities, as appropriate. In addition, the organization	<i>v</i>	Managed and Measurable Resources (people, processes, and technology) are allocated in a risk-based manner for stakeholders to effectively implement cybersecurity risk management activities and integrate those activities with enterprise risk management processes, as appropriate. Further, stakeholders involved in cybersecurity risk management are held accountable for carrying out their roles and responsibilities effectively.	Optimized The organization utilizes an integrated governance structure, in accordance with A-123, and associated review processes (e.g., ERM councils or IT investment review boards) to support the integration of roles and responsibilities for cybersecurity risk management and ERM.
	OMB M-19-03)?	•	In addition, the organization has defined and clearly communicated the			
		management roles and those roles involved with enterprise risk management.	relationships between cybersecurity risk management roles and those roles involved with enterprise risk management.			

	Ouestion			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
8.	To what extent has the organization ensured that plans of action and milestones (POA&Ms) are utilized for effectively mitigating security weaknesses (NIST SP 800-53 Rev. 4: CA-5; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2) Task A-6, R-3; OMB M-04-14, M-19-03, CSF v1.1, ID.RA-6)?	Policies and procedures for the effective use of POA&Ms to mitigate security weaknesses have not been defined and communicated.	Policies and procedures for the effective use of POA&Ms have been defined and communicated. These policies and procedures address, at a minimum, the centralized tracking of security weaknesses, prioritization of remediation efforts, maintenance, and independent validation of POA&M activities.	<ul> <li>The organization consistently utilizes POA&amp;Ms to effectively mitigate security weaknesses. The organization utilizes a prioritized and consistent approach to POA&amp;Ms that considers:</li> <li>Security categorizations</li> <li>Specific control deficiencies and their criticality</li> <li>Rationale for accepting certain deficiencies in controls</li> <li>POA&amp;M attributes, in accordance with OMB M-04-14 (e.g., severity and brief description of the weakness and estimated funding resources required to resolve the weakness)</li> </ul>	The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its POA&M activities and uses that information to make appropriate adjustments, as needed, to ensure that its risk posture is maintained.	The organization employs automation to correlate security weaknesses amongst information systems and identify enterprise-wide trends and solutions in a near real- time basis. Furthermore, processes are in place to identify and manage emerging risks, in addition to known security weaknesses.

	Question			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
9.	To what extent does the organization ensure that information about cybersecurity risks is communicated in a timely and effective manner to appropriate internal and external stakeholders (OMB A- 123; OMB Circular A-11 and OMB M-19-03; CSF: Section 3.3; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2) Task M-5; SECURE Technology Act: s. 1326, NIST IR 8170 and 8286)?	appropriate internal and external stakeholders.	The organization has defined how cybersecurity risks are communicated in a timely and effective manner to appropriate internal and external stakeholders. This includes the organizations policies, procedures, and processes for utilizing cybersecurity risk registers, or other comparable mechanisms, to share and coordinate cybersecurity risk activities.	The organization consistently utilizes a cybersecurity risk register, or other comparable mechanism to ensure that information about risks are communicated in a timely and effective manner to appropriate internal and external stakeholders with a need-to-know. Furthermore, the organization actively shares information with partners to ensure that accurate, current information is being distributed and consumed.	The organization employs robust diagnostic and reporting frameworks, including dashboards that facilitate a portfolio view of cybersecurity risks across the organization. The dashboard presents qualitative and quantitative metrics that provide indicators of cybersecurity risk. Cybersecurity risk. Cybersecurity risks are integrated into enterprise level dashboards and reporting frameworks. To facilitate timely, consistent, and effective communication of cybersecurity risks, the organization ensures that data supporting the cybersecurity risk register, or other comparable mechanism, are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format and is used to - Quantify and aggregate security risks - Normalize information across organizational units - Prioritize operational risk response activities	Using risk profiles and dynamic reporting mechanisms, cybersecurity risk information is incorporated into the organization's enterprise risk management program and utilized to provide a fully integrated, prioritized, enterprise-wide view of organizational risks to drive strategic and business decisions. Cyber risks are normalized and translated at the organizational level to support a fully integrated, prioritized, enterprise-wide view of organizational risks to drive strategic and business decisions.

	Question			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
10.	centralized, enterprise wide (portfolio) view of	The organization has not identified and defined its requirements for an automated solution to provide a centralized, enterprise wide (portfolio) view of cybersecurity risks across the organization, including risk control and remediation activities, dependences, risk scores/levels, and management dashboards.	The organization has identified and defined its requirements for an automated solution that provides a centralized, enterprise wide view of cybersecurity risks across the organization, including risk control and remediation activities, dependencies, risk	· · ·	The organization uses automation to perform scenario analysis and model potential responses, including modeling the potential impact of a threat exploiting a vulnerability and the resulting impact to organizational systems and data. In addition, the organization ensures that cybersecurity risk management information is integrated into ERM reporting tools, such as a governance,	The organization has institutionalized the use of advanced technologies for analysis of trends and performance against benchmarks to continuously improve its cybersecurity risk management program.
11.	Provide any additional information on the effectiveness (positive or negative) of the organization's risk management program that was not noted in the questions above. Taking into consideration the overall maturity level generated from the questions above and based on all testing performed, is the risk management program effective?				risk management, and compliance tool), as appropriate	

# Table 6: Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) Note: This section not to be considered in the Identity framework function rating

	Ouestion			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
12.	To what extent does the organization utilize an organization wide SCRM strategy to manage the supply chain risks associated with the development, acquisition, maintenance, and disposal of systems, system components, and system services? (The Federal Acquisition Supply Chain Security Act of 2018 (H.R. 7327, 41 USC Chap. 13 Sub chap. III and Chap. 47, P.L. 115-390) (Dec. 21, 2018), NIST SP 800-53, Rev. 5, PM- 30, NIST IR 8276)?	The organization has not defined and communicated an organization wide SCRM strategy.	The organization has defined and communicated an organization wide SCRM strategy. The strategy addresses: - SCRM risk appetite and tolerance - SCRM strategies or controls - Processes for consistently evaluating and monitoring supply chain risk - Approaches for implementing and communicating the SCRM strategy - Associated roles and responsibilities	The organization consistently implements its SCRM strategy across the organization and utilizes the strategy to guide supply chain analyses, communication with internal and external partners and stakeholders, and in building consensus regarding the appropriate resources for SCRM. Further, the organization utilizes lessons learned in implementation to review and update its SCRM strategy in an organization defined timeframe.	The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its SCRM strategy and makes updates, as appropriate. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	The organization's SCRM strategy is fully integrated with its enterprise risk management strategy and program. On a near real-time basis, the organization actively adapts its SCRM strategy to respond to evolving and sophisticated threats.

	Question			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
13.	To what extent does the organization utilize SCRM policies and procedures to manage SCRM activities at all organizational tiers (The Federal Acquisition Supply Chain Security Act of 2018, NIST 800-53, Rev. 5, SR-1, NIST CSF v1.1, ID.SC-1 and ID.SC-5, NIST IR 8276)?	and processes.	implementation of the policy and the associated baseline supply chain risk	procedures, and processes in an organization defined timeframe.	The organization monitors, analyzes, and reports on the qualitative and quantitative performance measures used to gauge the effectiveness of its SCRM policies and procedures and ensures that data supporting the metrics is obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format. The organization has integrated SCRM processes across its enterprise, including personnel security and physical security programs, hardware, software, and firmware development processes, configuration management tools, techniques, and measures to maintain provenance (as appropriate); shipping and handling procedures; and programs, processes, or procedures associated with the production and distribution of supply chain elements.	In a near real-time basis, the organization can update its SCRM policies, procedures, and processes, as appropriate, to respond to evolving and sophisticated threats.

Oraction		Maturity Level				
Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized	
Question To what extent does the organization ensure that products, system components, systems, and services of external providers are consistent with the organization's cybersecurity and supply chain requirements (The Federal Acquisition Supply Chain Security Act of 2018, NIST SP 800-53 REV. 5 SA-4, SR-3, SR-5, SR-6 (as appropriate); NIST SP 800-152 FedRAMP standard contract clauses; Cloud Computing Contract Best Practices; OMB M-19-03; OMB A-130; CSF: ID.SC-2 through 4, NIST IR 8276).	The organization has not defined and communicated policies, procedures, and processes to ensure that [organizationally defined products, system components, systems, and services] adhere to its cybersecurity and supply chain risk management requirements.	The organization has defined and communicated policies and procedures to ensure that [organizationally defined products, system components, systems, and services] adhere to its cybersecurity and supply chain risk management requirements. The following components, at a minimum, are defined - The identification and prioritization of externally provided systems, system	· · ·	Managed and Measurable The organization uses qualitative and quantitative performance metrics (e.g., those defined within SLAs) to measure, report on, and monitor the information security and SCRM performance of organizationally defined products, systems, and services provided by external providers. In addition, the organization has incorporated supplier risk evaluations, based on criticality, into its continuous monitoring practices to maintain situational awareness into the supply chain risks.	The organization analyzes, in a near-real time basis, the impact of material changes to security and SCRM assurance requirements on its relationships with external providers and ensures that acquisition tools, methods, and processes are updated as soon	

	Ouestion			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	
15.	To what extent does the organization ensure that counterfeit components are detected and prevented from entering the organization's systems? (800-53 rev 5 SR-11, 11 (1), and 11(2)	The organization has not defined and communicated its component authenticity policies and procedures.	The organization has defined and communicated its component authenticity policies and procedures. At a minimum the following areas are addressed: - Procedures to detect and prevent counterfeit components from entering the system. - Procedures to maintain configuration control over organizationally defined system components that are awaiting repair and service or repaired components awaiting return to service. - Requirements and procedures for reporting counterfeit system components	The organization consistently implements its component authenticity policies and procedures. Further, the organization: -Provides component authenticity/anti-counterfeit training for designated personnel. -Maintains configuration control over organizationally defined system components that are awaiting repair and service or repaired components awaiting return to service.	The organization monitors, analyzes, and reports on the qualitative and quantitative performance measures used to gauge the effectiveness of its component authenticity policies and procedures and ensures tha data supporting the metrics is obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format. In addition, the organization has incorporated component authenticity controls into its continuous monitoring practices.	In a near real-time basis, the organization can update its supply chain risk management policies and procedures, as appropriate, to respond to sevolving and sophisticated threats.
16.	Provide any additional information on the effectiveness (positive or negative) of the organization's supply chain risk management program that was not noted in the questions above. Taking into consideration the overall maturity level generated from the questions above and based on all testing performed, is the risk management program effective?					

# PROTECT FUNCTION AREA

# Table 7: Configuration Management

	0 1			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
17.	To what extent have the roles and responsibilities of configuration management stakeholders been defined, communicated, and implemented across the agency, and appropriately resourced (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CM- 1; NIST SP 800-128: Section 2.4)?	information system levels for stakeholders involved in information system configuration management	Roles and responsibilities at the organizational and information system levels for stakeholders involved in information system configuration management have been fully defined and communicated across the organization.	Individuals are performing the roles and responsibilities that have been defined across the organization.	Resources (people, processes, and technology) are allocated in a risk-based manner for stakeholders to effectively perform information system configuration management activities. Further, stakeholders are held accountable for carrying out their roles and responsibilities effectively.	
18.	To what extent does the organization utilize an enterprise wide configuration management plan that includes, at a minimum, the following components: roles and responsibilities, including establishment of a Change Control Board (CCB) or related body; configuration management processes, including processes for: identifying and managing configuration items during the appropriate phase within an organization's SDLC; configuration monitoring; and applying configuration management requirements to contractor operated systems (NIST SP 800-128: Section 2.3.2; NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CM-9)?	The organization has not developed an organization wide configuration management plan with the necessary components.	The organization has developed an organization wide configuration management plan that includes the necessary components.	6	The organization monitors, analyzes, and reports to stakeholders qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its configuration management plan, uses this information to take corrective actions when necessary, and ensures that data supporting the metrics is obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	The organization utilizes automation to adapt its configuration management plan and related processes and activities to a changing cybersecurity landscape on a near real-time basis (as defined by the organization).

	<b>A</b>			Maturity Level	1	
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
19.	configurations for its information systems and maintain inventories of related components at a level of granularity necessary for tracking and reporting (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CM-2 and CM- 8; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 2.2, 3.9.2, and 3.10.1; CSF: DE.CM-7 and PR.IP-1)?	procedures to ensure that baseline configurations for its information systems are developed, documented, and maintained under configuration control and that system components are inventoried at a level of granularity deemed necessary for tracking and reporting.	The organization has developed, documented, and disseminated its baseline configuration and component inventory policies and procedures.	The organization consistently records, implements, and maintains under configuration control, baseline configurations of its information systems and an inventory of related components in accordance with the organization's policies and procedures. Further, the organization utilizes lessons learned in implementation to make improvements to its baseline configuration policies and procedures.	The organization employs automated mechanisms (such as application whitelisting and network management tools) to detect unauthorized hardware, software, and firmware and unauthorized changes to hardware, software, and firmware.	The organization utilizes technology to implement a centralized baseline configuration and information system component inventory process that includes information from all organization systems (hardware and software) and is updated in a near real-time basis.
20.	configuration settings/common secure configurations for its information systems? (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CM-6, CM-7, RA-5, and SI-2; NIST SP 800-	procedures for ensuring that configuration settings/common secure configurations are defined, implemented, and monitored.	The organization has developed, documented, and disseminated its policies and procedures for configuration settings/common secure configurations. In addition, the organization has developed, documented, and disseminated common secure configurations (hardening guides) that are tailored to its environment. Further, the organization has established a deviation process.	The organization consistently implements, assesses, and maintains secure configuration settings for its information systems based on the principle of least functionality. Further, the organization consistently utilizes SCAP- validated software assessing (scanning) capabilities against all systems on the network (see inventory from questions #1 - #3) to assess and manage both code-based and configuration-based vulnerabilities. The organization utilizes lessons learned in implementation to make improvements to its secure configuration policies and procedures	The organization employs automation to help maintain an up-to-date, complete, accurate, and readily available view of the security configurations for all information system components connected to the organization's network and makes appropriate modifications in accordance with organization-defined timelines.	The organization deploys system configuration management tools that automatically enforce and redeploy configuration settings to systems at frequent intervals as defined by the organization, or on an event driven basis.

				Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
21.	To what extent does the	The organization has not	The organization has	The organization	The organization centrally	The organization utilizes
	organization utilize flaw	developed, documented,	developed, documented, and	consistently implements its	manages its flaw remediation	automated patch management
	remediation processes, including	and disseminated its	disseminated its policies and	flaw remediation policies,	process and utilizes	and software update tools for
	patch management, to manage	policies and procedures for	procedures for flaw	procedures, and processes	automated patch management	all applications and network
	software vulnerabilities (NIST	flaw remediation,	remediation, including for	and ensures that patches,	and software update tools for	devices (including mobile
	SP 800-53 REV. 4: CM-3, RA-	including for mobile	mobile devices. Policies and	hotfixes, service packs, and	operating systems, where	devices), as appropriate, where
	5, SI-2, and SI-3; NIST SP 800-	devices (GFE and non-	procedures include processes	anti-virus/malware	such tools are available and	such tools are available and
	40, Rev. 3; SANS/CIS Top 20,	GFE).	for: identifying, reporting, and	software updates are	safe.	safe.
	Control 4.5; FY 2021 CIO		correcting information system	identified, prioritized,		
	FISMA Metrics: 1.3.7, 1.3.8,		flaws, testing software and	tested, and installed in a	The organization monitors,	As part its flaw remediation
	2.13, 2.14; CSF: ID.RA-1; DHS		firmware updates prior to	timely manner. In addition,	analyzes, and reports	processes, the organization
	Binding Operational Directives		implementation, installing	the organization patches	qualitative and quantitative	performs deeper analysis of
	(BOD) 18-02 and 19-02)?		security relevant updates and	critical vulnerabilities	performance measures on the	software code, such as through
			patches within organizational-	within 30 days and utilizes	effectiveness of flaw	patch sourcing and testing
			defined timeframes, and	lessons learned in	remediation processes and	
			incorporating flaw remediation	implementation to make	ensures that data supporting	
			into the organization's	improvements to its flaw	the metrics is obtained	
			configuration management	remediation policies and	accurately, consistently, and	
			processes.	procedures.	in a reproducible format.	

				Maturity Level		
Questio	n	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
22. To what extent ha organization adop Internet Connection program to assist its network (OME DHS-CISA TIC 3 Guidance Docume	on (TIC) in protecting 3 M-19-26, 8.0 Core	prepared and planned to meet the goals of the TIC initiative, consistent with OMB M-19-26. Specifically, the agency has not defined and customized, as appropriate, its policies, procedures, and processes to implement TIC 3.0, including updating its network and system boundary policies, in accordance with OMB M-19- 26. This includes, as appropriate, the TIC security capabilities catalog, TIC use cases, and TIC overlays. The agency has not defined processes to develop and maintain an accurate inventory of its network connections, including details on the	of the TIC initiative, consistent with OMB M-19-26. Specifically, the agency has defined and customized, as appropriate, its policies, procedures, and processes to implement TIC 3.0, including updating its network and system boundary policies, in accordance with OMB M-19- 26. This includes, as appropriate, incorporation of TIC security capabilities catalog, TIC use cases, and TIC overlays. The agency has defined processes to develop and maintain an accurate inventory of its network connections, including details on the service provider, cost, capacity, traffic	configurations, and topological data for each connection.	The organization, in accordance with OMB M- 19-26, DHS guidance, and its cloud strategy is ensuring that its TIC implementation remains flexible and that its policies, procedures, and information security program are adapting to meet the security capabilities outlined in the TIC initiative, consistent with OMB M-19-26. The organization monitors and reviews the implemented TIC 3.0 use cases to determine effectiveness and incorporates new/different use cases, as appropriate.	

		Maturity Level					
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized	
23.	To what extent has the organization defined and implemented configuration change control activities including: determination of the types of changes that are configuration controlled; review and approval/disapproval of proposed changes with explicit consideration of security impacts and security classification of the system; documentation of configuration change decisions; implementation of approved configuration changes; retaining records of implemented changes; auditing and review of configuration changes; and coordination and oversight of changes by the CCB, as appropriate (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CM-2, CM-3 and CM- 4; CSF: PR.IP-3).	managing configuration change control. Policies and procedures do not	The organization has developed, documented, and disseminated its policies and procedures for managing configuration change control. The policies and procedures address, at a minimum, the necessary configuration change control related activities.	The organization consistently implements its change control policies, procedures, and processes, including explicit consideration of security impacts prior to change implementation. The organization utilizes lessons learned in implementation to make improvements to its change control policies and procedures	The organization monitors, analyzes, and reports qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its change control activities and ensures that data supporting the metrics is obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format. In addition, the organization implements [organizationally defined security responses] if baseline configurations are changed in an unauthorized manner.	The organization utilizes automation to improve the accuracy, consistency, and availability of configuration change control and configuration baseline information. Automation is also used to provide data aggregation and correlation capabilities, alerting mechanisms, and dashboards on change control activities to support risk-based decision making across the organization.	

#### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Reporting Metrics v1.1 Protect Function Area (Configuration Management)

		Maturity Level						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
24.	To what extent does the organization utilize a vulnerability disclosure policy (VDP) as part of its vulnerability management program for internet-accessible federal systems (OMB M-20-32 and DHS BOD 20-01)?	The organization has not developed, documented, and disseminated a comprehensive VDP.	The organization has developed, documented, and publicly disseminated a comprehensive VDP. The following elements are addressed: - The systems in scope - Types of testing allowed - Reporting mechanisms - Timely feedback - Remediation In addition, the organization has updated its vulnerability disclosure handling procedures to support the implementation of its VDP.	The organization consistently implements its VDP. In addition, the organization: - Has updated the relevant fields at the .gov registrar to ensure appropriate reporting by the public. -Ensures that newly launched internet accessible systems and services, and at least 50% of internet-accessible systems, are included in the scope of its VDP. -Increases the scope of systems covered by its VDP, in accordance with DHS BOD 20-01.	The organization monitors, analyzes, and reports on the qualitative and quantitative performance measures used to gauge the effectiveness of its vulnerability disclosure policy and disclosure handing procedures. In addition, all internet- accessible systems are included in the scope of the organization's VDP.	On a near real-time basis, the organization actively adapts its vulnerability disclosure policies and procedures and provides information to stakeholders and partners. Within the context of its enterprise risk management program, the organization considers the use of a Bug Bounty program. As appropriate, Bug Bounty programs are implemented in accordance with OMB M-20- 32.		
25.	Provide any additional information on the effectiveness (positive or negative) of the organization's configuration management program that was not noted in the questions above. Taking into consideration the maturity level generated from the questions above and based on all testing performed, is the configuration management program effective?							

		Maturity Level					
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized	
26.	To what extent have the roles and	Roles and responsibilities at	Roles and responsibilities at	Individuals are performing	Resources (people,	In accordance with OMB	
	responsibilities of identity,	the organizational and	the organizational and	the roles and responsibilities	processes, and technology)	M-19-17, the agency has	
	credential, and access	information system levels for	information system levels for	that have been defined	are allocated in a risk-based	implemented an integrated	
	management (ICAM)	stakeholders involved in	stakeholders involved in	across the organization.	manner for stakeholders to	agency-wide ICAM office,	
	stakeholders been defined,	ICAM have not been fully	ICAM have been fully defined		effectively implement	team, or other governance	
	communicated, and implemented	defined and communicated	and communicated across the	The organization ensures	identity, credential, and	structure in support of its	
	across the agency, and	across the organization.	organization. This includes, as	that there is consistent	access management	ERM capability to	
	appropriately resourced (NIST SP		appropriate, developing an	coordination amongst	activities. Further,	effectively govern and	
	800-53 REV. 4: AC-1, IA-1, and		ICAM governance structure to	organization leaders and	stakeholders are held	enforce ICAM efforts.	
	PS-1; NIST SP 800-63-3 and 800-		align and consolidate the	mission owners to	accountable for carrying out		
	63A, B, and C; Federal Identity,		agency's ICAM investments,	implement, manage, and	their roles and		
	Credential, and Access		monitor programs, and	maintain the organization's	responsibilities effectively.		
	Management (FICAM) playbooks		ensuring awareness and	ICAM policy, strategy,			
	and guidance (see		understanding.	process, and technology			
	idmanagement.gov), OMB M-19-			solution roadmap.			
	17)?			_			

# Table 8: Identity and Access Management

	Omertian		Maturity Level					
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
org cor stra solu ICA (FII SP IA- SA	ganization utilize a mprehensive ICAM policy, ategy, process, and technology lution roadmap to guide its AM processes and activities ICAM, OMB M-19-17; NIST 800-53 REV. 4: AC-1 and -1; OMB M-19-17; NS/CIS Top 20: 14.1; DHS 0 19-01; CSF: PR.AC-4 and	The organization has not developed a comprehensive ICAM policy, strategy, process, and technology solution road map to guide its ICAM processes and activities. In addition, the organization has not performed a review of current practices, identified gaps, and developed a transition plan to serve as an input to the ICAM policy, strategy, and technology	The organization has developed a comprehensive ICAM policy, strategy, process, and technology solution road map to guide its ICAM processes and activities. The organization has developed milestones for how it plans to align with Federal initiatives, including strong authentication, the Federal ICAM architecture and OMB M-19-17, and phase 2 of DHS's Continuous Diagnostics and Mitigation (CDM) program, as appropriate.	The organization is consistently implementing its ICAM policy, strategy, process, and technology solution road map and is on track to meet milestones. The strategy encompasses	The organization integrates its ICAM strategy and activities with its enterprise architecture and the Federal ICAM architecture. The organization uses automated mechanisms (e.g. machine-based, or user-based enforcement), where appropriate, to manage the effective implementation of its ICAM policies, procedures, and strategy. Examples of automated mechanisms include network segmentation based on the label/classification of information stored;	On a near real-time basis, the organization actively adapts its ICAM policy, strategy, and related processes and activities to a changing cybersecurity landscape to respond to evolving and sophisticated threats. The organization employs adaptive identification and authentication techniques to assess suspicious behavior and potential violations of its ICAM policies and procedures on a near- real time basis.		

Question		Maturity Level						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
28.	To what extent has the organization developed and implemented processes for assigning position risk designations and performing appropriate personnel screening prior to granting access to its systems (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: PS-2 and PS-3; National Insider Threat Policy; CSF: PR.IP-11, OMB M-19-17)?	The organization has not defined its processes for assigning personnel risk designations and performing appropriate screening prior to granting access to its systems.	The organization has defined its processes for ensuring that all personnel are assigned risk designations and appropriately screened prior to being granted access to its systems. Processes have been defined for assigning risk designations for all positions, establishing screening criteria for individuals filling those positions, authorizing access following screening completion, and rescreening individuals on a periodic basis.		The organization employs automation to centrally document, track, and share risk designations and screening information with necessary parties.	On a near-real time basis, the organization evaluates personnel security information from various sources, integrates this information with anomalous user behavior data (audit logging) and/or its insider threat activities, and adjusts permissions accordingly.		
29.	To what extent does the organization ensure that access agreements, including nondisclosure agreements, acceptable use agreements, and rules of behavior, as appropriate, for individuals (both privileged and non-privileged users) that access its systems are completed and maintained (NIST SP 800- 53 REV. 4: AC-8, PL-4, and PS- 6)?	The organization has not defined its processes for developing, documenting, and maintaining access agreements for individuals that access its systems.	documenting, and maintaining access agreements for individuals that access its systems.	The organization ensures that access agreements for individuals are completed prior to access being granted to systems and are consistently maintained thereafter. The organization utilizes more specific/detailed agreements for privileged users or those with access to sensitive information, as appropriate.	The organization uses automation to manage and review user access agreements for privileged and non-privileged users. To the extent practical, this process is centralized.	On a near real-time basis, the organization ensures that access agreements for privileged and non- privileged users are maintained, as necessary.		

Question		Maturity Level						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
30.	authentication mechanisms (PIV or an Identity Assurance Level (IAL)3/Authenticator Assurance Level (AAL) 3 credential) for non-privileged users to access the organization's facilities	The organization has not planned for the use of strong authentication mechanisms for non-privileged users of the organization's facilities [organization-defined entry/exit points], systems, and networks, including for remote	The organization has planned for the use of strong authentication mechanisms for non-privileged users of the organization's facilities [organization-defined entry/exit points], systems, and networks, including the completion of	The organization has consistently implemented strong authentication mechanisms for non- privileged users of the organization's facilities [organization-defined entry/exit points] and	All non-privileged users utilize strong authentication mechanisms to authenticate to applicable organizational systems and facilities [organization-defined	The organization has implemented an enterprise-wide single sign on solution and all of the organization's systems interface with the solution, resulting in an ability to manage user		
	points], networks, and systems, including for remote access (HSPD-12; NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: AC-17, IA-2, IA-5, IA-	access. In addition, the organization has not performed digital identity risk assessments to determine which systems require strong authentication.		networks, including for remote access, in accordance with Federal targets. For instances where it would be impracticable to use the PIV card, the organization uses an alternative token (derived PIV credential) which can be implemented and deployed with mobile devices.		(non-privileged) accounts and privileges centrally and report on effectiveness on a near real-time basis.		

				Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
31.	To what extent has the	The organization has not	The organization has planned	The organization has	All privileged users,	The organization has
	organization implemented strong	planned for the use of strong	for the use of strong	consistently implemented	including those who can	implemented an
	authentication mechanisms (PIV	authentication mechanisms for	authentication mechanisms for	strong authentication	make changes to DNS	enterprise-wide single
	or an Identity Assurance Level	privileged users of the	privileged users of the	mechanisms for privileged	records, utilize strong	sign on solution and all
	(IAL)3/Authenticator Assurance	organization's facilities	organization's facilities	users of the organization's	authentication mechanisms	the organization's
	Level (AAL) 3 credential) for	[organization-defined	[organization-defined entry/exit	facilities [organization-	to authenticate to	systems interface with
	privileged users to access the	entry/exit points], systems, and	points], systems, and networks,	defined entry/exit points],	applicable organizational	the solution, resulting in
	organization's facilities	networks, including for remote	including the completion of	and networks, including for	systems.	an ability to manage user
	[organization-defined entry/exit	access. In addition, the	digital identity risk	remote access, in		(privileged) accounts
	points], networks, and systems,	organization has not performed	assessments.	accordance with Federal		and privileges centrally
	including for remote access	digital identity risk		targets.		and report on
	(HSPD-12; NIST SP 800-53	assessments to determine				effectiveness on a near
	REV. 4: AC-17, PE-3; NIST SP	which systems require strong		For instances where it		real-time basis.
	800-128; FIPS 201-2; NIST SP	authentication.		would be impracticable to		
	800-63 and 800-157; OMB M-			use the PIV card, the		
	19-17, FY 2021 CIO FISMA			organization uses an		
	Metrics: 2.3, 2.5, and 2.7; CSF:			alternative token (derived		
	PR.AC-1 and 6; and DHS ED			PIV credential) which can		
	19-01)?			be implemented and		
				deployed with mobile		
				devices.		

	Orrection			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
32.	To what extent does the organization ensure that privileged accounts are provisioned, managed, and reviewed in accordance with the principles of least privilege and separation of duties? Specifically, this includes processes for periodic review and adjustment of privileged user accounts and permissions, inventorying and validating the scope and number of privileged accounts, and ensuring that privileged user account activities are logged and periodically reviewed (FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.7; OMB M-19-17, NIST SP 800- 53 REV. 4: AC-1, AC-2, AC-5, AC-6, AC-17; AU-2, AU-3, AU- 6, and IA-4; DHS ED 19-01; CSF: PR.AC-4).	The organization has not defined its processes for provisioning, managing, and reviewing privileged accounts.	The organization has defined its processes for provisioning, managing, and reviewing privileged accounts. Defined processes cover approval and	The organization ensures that its processes for provisioning, managing, and reviewing privileged accounts are consistently implemented across the organization. The organization limits the functions that can be performed when using privileged accounts; limits the duration that privileged accounts can be logged in; limits the privileged functions that can be performed using remote access; and ensures that privileged user activities are logged and periodically reviewed.	The organization employs automated mechanisms (e.g. machine-based, or user-based enforcement) to support the management of privileged accounts, including for the automatic removal/disabling of temporary, emergency, and inactive accounts, as appropriate.	
33.	To what extent does the organization ensure that appropriate configuration/connection requirements are maintained for remote access connections? This includes the use of appropriate	The organization has not defined the configuration/connection requirements for remote access connections, including use of FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic modules, system time-outs, and monitoring and control of remote access sessions.	The organization has defined its configuration/connection requirements for remote access connections, including use of cryptographic modules, system time-outs, and how it monitors and controls remote access sessions.	The organization ensures that FIPS 140-2 validated cryptographic modules are implemented for its remote access connection method(s), remote access sessions time out after 30 minutes (or less), and that remote users' activities are logged and reviewed based on risk.	The organization ensures that end user devices have been appropriately configured prior to allowing remote access and restricts the ability of individuals to transfer data accessed remotely to non- authorized devices.	The organization has deployed a capability to rapidly disconnect remote access user sessions based on active monitoring. The speed of disablement varies based on the criticality of missions/business functions.

	Owertier			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
34.	Provide any additional					
	information on the effectiveness					
	(positive or negative) of the					
	organization's identity and					
	access management program that					
	was not noted in the questions					
	above. Taking into consideration					
	the maturity level generated					
	from the questions above and					
	based on all testing performed, is					
	the identity and access					
	management program effective?					

	Ouestion			Maturity Level	-	-
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
35.	personally identifiable information (PII) that is collected, used, maintained, shared, and disposed of by information systems (NIST SP 800-122; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2) Section 2.3, Task P-1 ; OMB M-20-04; OMB M-19-03; OMB A-130, Appendix I; CSF: ID.GV-3; NIST SP 800-	The organization has not established a privacy program and related plans, policies, and procedures as appropriate for the protection of PII collected, used, maintained, shared, and disposed of by information systems. Additionally, roles and responsibilities for the effective implementation of the organization's privacy program have not been defined.	The organization has defined and communicated its privacy program plan and related policies and procedures for the protection of PII that is collected, used, maintained, shared, and/or disposed of by its information systems. In addition, roles and responsibilities for the effective implementation of the organization's privacy program have been defined and the organization has determined the resources and optimal governance structure needed to effectively implement its privacy program.	<ul> <li>The organization consistently implements its privacy program by:</li> <li>Dedicating appropriate resources to the program</li> <li>Maintaining an inventory of the collection and use of PII</li> <li>Conducting and maintaining privacy impact assessments and system of records notices for all applicable systems.</li> <li>Reviewing and removing unnecessary PII collections on a regular basis (i.e., SSNs)</li> <li>Using effective communications channels for disseminating privacy policies and procedures</li> <li>Ensuring that individuals are consistently performing the privacy roles and responsibilities that have been defined across the organization</li> </ul>	The organization monitors and analyses quantitative and qualitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its privacy activities and uses that information to make needed adjustments. The organization conducts an independent review of its privacy program and makes necessary improvements.	The privacy program is fully integrated with other security areas, such as ISCM, and other business processes, such as strategic planning and risk management. Further, the organization's privacy program is embedded into daily decision making across the organization and provides for continuous identification of privacy risks.

### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Protect Function Area (Data Protection and Privacy)

	0			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
36.	<ul> <li>To what extent has the organization implemented the following security controls to protect its PII and other agency sensitive data, as appropriate, throughout the data lifecycle.</li> <li>(NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4; Appendix J, SC-8, SC-28, MP-3, and MP-6; NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2); FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 2.8, 2.12; DHS BOD 18-02; CSF: PR.DS-1, PR.DS-2, PR.PT-2, and PR.IP-6)?</li> <li>Encryption of data at rest</li> <li>Encryption of data in transit</li> <li>Limitation of transfer to removable media</li> <li>Sanitization of digital media prior to disposal or reuse</li> </ul>	The organization has not defined its policies and procedures in one or more of the specified areas.	procedures have been defined and communicated for the specified areas. Further, the policies and procedures have been tailored to the organization's environment and include specific considerations based on data classification and sensitivity.	procedures have been consistently implemented for the specified areas, including (i) use of FIPS-validated encryption of PII and other agency sensitive data, as appropriate, both at rest and in transit, (ii) prevention and detection of untrusted removable media, and (iii) destruction or reuse of media containing PII or other sensitive agency data.	the security controls for protecting PII and other agency sensitive data, as appropriate, throughout the data lifecycle are subject to the monitoring processes defined within the organization's ISCM strategy.	The organization employs advanced capabilities to enhance protective controls, including (i) remote wiping, (ii) dual authorization for sanitization of media devices, (iii) exemption of media marking as long as the media remains within organizationally-defined control areas, and (iv) configuring systems to record the date the PII was collected, created, or updated and when the data is to be deleted or destroyed according to an approved data retention schedule.
37.	To what extent has the organization implemented security controls to prevent data exfiltration and enhance network defenses? (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: SI-3, SI-7(8), SI-4(4) and (18), SC-7(10), and SC-18; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 3.8; DHS BOD 18-01; DHS ED 19-01; CSF: PR.DS-5)?	The organization has not defined its policies and procedures related to data exfiltration, enhanced network defenses, email authentication processes, and mitigation against DNS infrastructure tampering.	processes, and mitigation against DNS infrastructure tampering.	The organization consistently monitors inbound and outbound network traffic, ensuring that all traffic passes through a web content filter that protects against phishing, malware, and blocks against known malicious sites. Additionally, the organization checks outbound communications traffic to detect encrypted exfiltration of information, anomalous traffic patterns, and elements of PII. Also, suspected malicious traffic is quarantined or blocked. In addition, the organization utilizes email authentication technology and ensures the use of valid encryption certificates for its domains.	The organization analyzes qualitative and quantitative measures on the performance of its data exfiltration and enhanced network defenses. The organization also conducts exfiltration exercises to measure the effectiveness of its data exfiltration and enhanced network defenses. Further, the organization monitors its DNS infrastructure for potential tampering, in accordance with its ISCM strategy. In addition, the organization audits its DNS records.	The organizations data exfiltration and enhanced network defenses are fully integrated into the ISCM and incident response programs to provide near real-time monitoring of the data that is entering and exiting the network, and other suspicious inbound and outbound communications.

### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Protect Function Area (Data Protection and Privacy)

	Orrection			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
38.	implemented a Data Breach Response Plan, as appropriate, to respond to privacy events? (NIST SP 800-122; NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: Appendix J, SE-2; FY 2020 SAOP FISMA metrics, Section 12; OMB M-17-12; and OMB M-17-25)?	Response Plan that includes the agency's policies and procedures for reporting, investigating, and managing a privacy-related breach.	The organization has defined and communicated its Data Breach Response Plan, including its processes and procedures for data breach notification. Further, a breach response team has been established that includes the appropriate agency officials.	The organization consistently implements its Data Breach Response plan. Additionally, the breach response team participates in table-top exercises and uses lessons learned to make improvements to the plan as appropriate. Further, the organization can identify the specific individuals affected by a breach, send notice to the affected individuals, and provide those individuals with credit monitoring and repair services, as necessary.	The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its Data Breach Response Plan, as appropriate. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	The organization's Data Breach Response plan is fully integrated with incident response, risk management, continuous monitoring, continuity of operations, and other mission/business areas, as appropriate. Further the organization employs automation to monitor for potential privacy incidents and takes immediate action to mitigate the incident and provide protection to the affected individuals.
39.	awareness training is provided to all individuals, including role- based privacy training (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: AR-5, FY 2020 SAOP FISMA Metrics, Sections 9 10, and 11)?	training program based on organizational requirements, its mission, and the types of PII that its users have access to. In addition, the organization has not developed role-based privacy training for individuals having responsibility for PII or activities involving PII.	8	The organization ensures that all individuals receive basic privacy awareness training and individuals having responsibilities for PII or activities involving PII receive role-based privacy training at least annually. Additionally, the organization ensures that individuals certify acceptance of responsibilities for privacy requirements at least annually.	The organization measures the effectiveness of its privacy awareness training program by obtaining feedback on the content of the training and conducting targeted phishing exercises for those with responsibility for PII. Additionally, the organization make updates to its program based on statutory, regulatory, mission, program, business process, information system requirements, and/or results from monitoring and auditing.	The organization has institutionalized a process of continuous improvement incorporating advanced privacy training practices and technologies.

### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Protect Function Area (Data Protection and Privacy)

	Omertian	MaturityLevel						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
40.	Provide any additional							
	information on the effectiveness							
	(positive or negative) of the							
	organization's data protection and							
	privacy program that was not							
	noted in the questions above.							
	Taking into consideration the							
	maturity level generated from the							
	questions above and based on all							
	testing performed, is the data							
	protection and privacy program							
	effective?							

Table	10:	Security	Training
-------	-----	----------	----------

				Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
41.	and responsibilities of security awareness and training program stakeholders been defined, communicated, and implemented across the agency, and appropriately resourced? (Note: this includes the roles and responsibilities for the effective establishment and maintenance of an organization wide security awareness and training program as well as the awareness and training related roles and responsibilities of system users and those with significant security responsibilities (NIST SP 800- 53 REV. 4: AT-1; and NIST SP	Roles and responsibilities	Roles and responsibilities have been defined and communicated across the organization and resource requirements have been established.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Resources (people, processes, and technology) are allocated in a risk-based manner for stakeholders to consistently implement security awareness and training responsibilities. Further, stakeholders are held accountable for carrying out their roles and responsibilities effectively.	Optimized
42.	5	The organization has not defined its processes for assessing the knowledge, skills, and abilities of its workforce.	The organization has defined its processes for assessing the knowledge, skills, and abilities of its workforce to determine its awareness and specialized training needs and periodically updating its assessment to account for a changing risk environment.	workforce; tailored its awareness and specialized	The organization has addressed its identified knowledge, skills, and abilities gaps through training or talent acquisition.	The organization's personnel collectively possess a training level such that the organization can demonstrate that security incidents resulting from personnel actions or inactions are being reduced over time.

				Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
43.	To what extent does the	The organization has not	The organization has defined	The organization has	The organization monitors	The organization's security
	organization utilize a security	defined its security awareness	its security awareness and	consistently implemented its	and analyzes qualitative and	awareness and training
	awareness and training	and training strategy/plan for	training strategy/plan for	organization-wide security	quantitative performance	activities are integrated across
	strategy/plan that leverages its	developing, implementing,	developing, implementing, and	awareness and training	measures on the effectiveness	other security-related domains.
	skills assessment and is adapted	and maintaining a security	maintaining a security	strategy and plan.	of its security awareness and	For instance, common risks
	to its mission and risk	awareness and training	awareness and training		training strategies and plans.	and control weaknesses, and
	environment? (Note: the	program that is tailored to its	program that is tailored to its		The organization ensures that	other outputs of the agency's
	strategy/plan should include the	mission and risk environment.	mission and risk environment.		data supporting metrics are	risk management and
	following components: the				obtained accurately,	continuous monitoring
	structure of the awareness and				consistently, and in a	activities inform any updates
	training program, priorities,				reproducible format.	that need to be made to the
	funding, the goals of the					security awareness and
	program, target audiences, types					training program.
	of courses/material for each					
	audience, use of technologies					
	(such as email advisories,					
	intranet updates/wiki					
	pages/social media, web based					
	training, phishing simulation					
	tools), frequency of training, and					
	deployment methods (NIST SP					
	800-53 REV. 4: AT-1; NIST SP					
	800-50: Section 3; CSF: PR.AT-					
	1).					

### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Protect Function Area (Security Training)

			MaturityLevel					
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
44.	To what extent does the organization ensure that security awareness training is provided to all system users and is tailored based on its mission, risk environment, and types of information systems? (Note: awareness training topics should include, as appropriate: consideration of organizational policies, roles and responsibilities, secure e-mail, browsing, and remote access practices, mobile device security, secure use of social media, phishing, malware, physical security, and security incident reporting (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: AT-1, AT-2; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 2.15; NIST SP 800-50: 6.2; CSF: PR.AT-2; SANS Top 20: 17.4).	Ad Hoc The organization has not defined its security awareness policies, procedures, and related material based on its mission, risk environment, and the types of information systems that its users have access to. In addition, the organization has not defined its processes for ensuring that all information system users are provided security awareness training [within organizationally defined timeframes] and periodically thereafter. Furthermore, the organization has not defined its processes for evaluating and obtaining feedback on its security awareness and training program and using that information to make continuous improvements.	The organization has defined and tailored its security awareness policies, procedures, and related material and delivery methods based on FISMA requirements, its, and the types of information systems that its users have access to. In addition, the organization has defined its processes for ensuring that all information system users including contractors are provided security awareness training [within organizationally defined timeframes] and periodically thereafter. Furthermore, the organization has defined its processes for	The organization ensures that its security awareness	Managed and Measurable The organization measures the effectiveness of its awareness program by, for example, conducting phishing exercises and following up with additional awareness or training, and/or disciplinary action, as appropriate. The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its security awareness policies, procedures, and practices. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	Optimized           The organization has institutionalized a process of continuous improvement incorporating advanced security awareness practices and technologies.           On a near real-time basis (as determined by the agency given its threat environment), the organization actively adapts its security awareness policies, procedures, processes to a changing cybersecurity landscape and provides awareness and training, as appropriate, on evolving and sophisticated threats.		

	0 "			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
45.	To what extent does the organization ensure that specialized security training is provided to individuals with significant security responsibilities (as defined in the organization's security policies and procedures and in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulation 930.301) (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: AT-3 and AT-4; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: 2.15, and 5 Code of Federal Regulation 930.301)?	The organization has not defined its security training policies, procedures, and related materials based on its mission, risk environment, and the types of roles with significant security responsibilities. In addition, the organization has not defined its processes for ensuring that personnel with significant security roles and responsibilities are provided specialized security training [within organizationally defined timeframes] and periodically thereafter.	The organization has defined its security training policies, procedures, and related material based on FISMA requirements, its mission and risk environment, and the types of roles with significant security responsibilities. In addition, the organization has defined its processes for ensuring that personnel with assigned security roles and responsibilities are provided specialized security training [within organizationally defined time frames] and periodically thereafter.	The organization ensures that its security training policies and procedures are consistently implemented.	The organization obtains feedback on its specialized security training content and processes and makes updates to its program, as appropriate. In addition, the organization measures the effectiveness of its specialized security training program by, for example, conducting targeted phishing exercises and following up with additional training, and/or disciplinary action, as appropriate. The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its security training policies, procedures, and practices. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	The organization has institutionalized a process of continuous improvement incorporating advanced security training practices and technologies. On a near real-time basis, the organization actively adapts its security training policies, procedures, processes to a changing cybersecurity landscape and provides awareness and training, as appropriate, on evolving and sophisticated threats.
46.	Provide any additional information on the effectiveness (positive or negative) of the organization's security training program that was not noted in the questions above. Taking into consideration the maturity level generated from the questions above and based on all testing performed, is the security training program effective?		·	·	·	

# DETECT FUNCTION AREA

### Table 11: ISCM

Orrection			Maturity Level	-	
Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
47. To what extent does the organization utilize information security continuous monitoring (ISCM) policies and an ISCM strategy that addresses ISCM requirements and activities at each organizational tier (NIST SP 800-37 (Rev. 2) Task P-7; NIST SP 800-137: Sections 3.1 and 3.6)?	The organization has not developed, tailored, and communicated its ISCM policies and an organization wide ISCM strategy.	- The minimum monitoring frequencies for implemented controls across the organization. The criteria for determining minimum frequencies is established in coordination with organizational officials	The organization's ISCM policies and strategy are consistently implemented at the organization, business process, and information system levels. In addition, the strategy supports clear visibility into assets, awareness into vulnerabilities, up-to-date threat information, and mission/business impacts. The organization also consistently captures lessons learned to make improvements to the ISCM policies and strategy.	The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its ISCM policies and strategy and makes updates, as appropriate. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format. The organization has transitioned to ongoing control and system authorization through the implementation of its continuous monitoring policies and strategy.	The organization's ISCM policies and strategy are fully integrated with its enterprise and supply chain risk management, configuration management, incident response, and business continuity programs. The organization can demonstrate that it is using its ISCM policies and strategy to reduce the cost and increase the efficiency of security and privacy programs.

			Maturity Level		
Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
<ol> <li>To what extent have ISCM stakeholders and their roles, responsibilities, levels of authority, and dependencies been defined, communicated, and implemented across the organization (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CA-1; NIST SP 800- 137; CSF: DE.DP-1; NIST 800-37, Rev. 2 Task P-7 and S 5)</li> </ol>	Roles and responsibilities have not been fully defined and communicated across the organization, including appropriate levels of authority and dependencies.	The organization has defined and communicated the structures of its ISCM team, roles and responsibilities of ISCM stakeholders, and levels of authority and dependencies.	Individuals are performing the roles and responsibilities that have been defined across the organization.	Resources (people, processes, and technology) are allocated in a risk-based manner for stakeholders to effectively implement ISCM activities. Further, stakeholders are held accountable for carrying out their roles and responsibilities effectively.	
<ul> <li>49. How mature are the organization's processes for performing ongoing informatic system assessments, granting system authorizations, includir developing and maintaining system security plans, and monitoring system security controls (OMB A-130, NIST S 800-137: Section 2.2; NIST SF 800-53 REV. 4: CA-2, CA-6, and CA-7; NIST Supplemental Guidance on Ongoing Authorization; NIST SP 800-18, Rev. 1, NIST IR 8011: OMB M-14-03; OMB M-19-03)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>strategies/policies that define</li> <li>its processes for performing</li> <li>ongoing security control</li> <li>assessments, granting system</li> <li>authorizations, including</li> <li>P developing and maintaining</li> <li>system security plans,</li> <li>monitoring security controls</li> <li>for individual systems; and</li> <li>time based triggers for ongoing</li> <li>authorization.</li> </ul>	The organization has developed system level continuous monitoring strategies/policies that define its processes for performing ongoing security control assessments, granting system authorizations, including developing and maintaining system security plans, and monitoring security controls for individual systems; and time based triggers for ongoing authorization. The system level strategy/policies address the monitoring of those controls that are not addressed by the organizational level strategy, as well as how changes to the system are monitored and reported.	The organization consistently implements its system level continuous monitoring strategies and related processes, including performing ongoing security control assessments, granting system authorizations, including developing and maintaining system security plans, and monitoring security controls to provide a view of the organizational security posture, as well as each system's contribution to said security posture. In conjunction with the overall ISCM strategy, all security control classes (management, operational, and technical) and types (common, hybrid, and system-specific) are assessed and monitored, and their status updated regularly (as defined in the agency's information security policy) in security plans.	The organization utilizes the results of security control assessments and monitoring to maintain ongoing authorizations of information systems, including the maintenance of system security plans.	The organization's system level ISCM policies and strategies are fully integrated with its enterprise and supply chain risk management, configuration management, incident response, and business continuity programs. The organization can demonstrate that it is using its system level ISCM policies and strategy to reduce the cost and increase the efficiency of security and privacy programs.

### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Detect Function Area (ISCM)

	0			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently Implemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
50.	How mature is the organization's process for collecting and analyzing ISCM performance measures and reporting findings (NIST SP 800-137)?	qualitative and quantitative performance measures that will be used to assess the effectiveness of its ISCM program, achieve situational awareness, and control ongoing risk. Further, the organization has not defined how ISCM information will be shared with individuals with significant security responsibilities and used to make risk-based decisions.	used to assess the effectiveness of its ISCM program, achieve situational awareness, and control ongoing risk. In addition, the organization	The organization is consistently capturing qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the performance of its ISCM program in accordance with established requirements for data collection, storage, analysis, retrieval, and reporting.	The organization is able to integrate metrics on the effectiveness of its ISCM program to deliver persistent situational awareness across the organization, explain the environment from both a threat/vulnerability and risk/impact perspective, and cover mission areas of operations and security domains.	On a near real-time basis, the organization actively adapts its ISCM program to a changing cybersecurity landscape and responds to evolving and sophisticated threats in a timely manner.
51.	Provide any additional information on the effectiveness (positive or negative) of the organization's ISCM program that was not noted in the questions above. Taking into consideration the maturity level generated from the questions above and based on all testing performed, is the ISCM program effective?					

# **RESPOND FUNCTION AREA**

### Table 12: Incident Response

	0			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently I mplemented	Managed and	Optimized
					Measurable	
52.	To what extent does the organization utilize an incident response plan to provide a formal, focused, and coordinated approach to responding to incidents (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: IR-8; NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2, section 2.3.2; CSF, RS.RP-1, Presidential Policy Directive (PPD) 8 – National Preparedness)?	The organization has not developed an incident response plan to provide a roadmap for implementing its incident response capability.	<ul> <li>The organization has developed a tailored incident response plan that addresses</li> <li>Structure and organization of the incident response capability</li> <li>High-level approach for how the incident response capability fits into the overall organization</li> <li>Defines reportable incidents, including major incidents</li> <li>Metrics for measuring the incident response capability</li> <li>Resources and management support</li> </ul>	The organization consistently implements its incident response plan. Further, the organization is consistently capturing and sharing lessons learned on the effectiveness of its incident response plan and making updates as necessary.	The organization monitors and analyzes the qualitative and quantitative performance measures that have been defined in its incident response plan to monitor and maintain the effectiveness of its overall incident response capability. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	The organization's incident response plan is fully integrated with risk management, continuous monitoring, continuity of operations, and other mission/business areas, as appropriate. In addition, the organization make near real-time updates to its incident response plan based on changing risk environments and threat information. The organization participates in DHS's Cyber Storm national level exercise, as appropriate, or other exercises, to assess, cybersecurity preparedness, and examine incident response processes.
53.	To what extent have incident response team structures/models, stakeholders, and their roles, responsibilities, levels of authority, and dependencies been defined, communicated, and implemented across the organization (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: IR-7; NIST SP 800-83; NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2; CSF, RS.CO-1, OMB M-20-04; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics: Section 4; CSF: RS.CO-1; and US-CERT Federal Incident Notification Guidelines)?	Roles and responsibilities have not been fully defined and communicated across the organization, including appropriate levels of authority and dependencies.	The organization has defined and communicated the structures of its incident response teams, roles and responsibilities of incident response stakeholders, and associated levels of authority and dependencies. In addition, the organization has designated a principal security operations center or equivalent organization that is accountable to agency leadership, DHS, and OMB for all incident response activities.	Individuals are performing the roles and responsibilities that have been defined across the organization.	Resources (people, processes, and technology) are allocated in a risk-based manner for stakeholders to effectively implement incident response activities. Further, stakeholders are held accountable for carrying out their roles and responsibilities effectively.	

#### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Respond Function Area (Incident Response)

	Question Maturity Level					
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently I mplemented	Managed and	Optimized
					Measurable	
54.	How mature are the	The organization has not	The organization has defined	The organization consistently	The organization monitors and	
	organization's processes for	defined and communicated its	and communicated its	implements its policies,	analyzes qualitative and	
		policies, procedures, and	policies, procedures, and	procedures, and processes for	quantitative performance	
	(NIST 800-53: IR-4 and IR-6;	processes for incident	processes for incident	incident detection and analysis.	measures on the effectiveness	
	NIST SP 800-61 Rev. 2; OMB	detection and analysis. In	detection and analysis.		of its incident detection and	
	M-20-04; CSF: DE.AE-1,	addition, the organization has		In addition, the organization	analysis policies and	
	DE.AE-2 -5, PR.DS-6, RS.AN-1	not defined a common threat	In addition, the organization	consistently utilizes its threat	procedures. The organization	
	and 4, and PR.DS-8; and US-	vector taxonomy for	has defined a common threat	vector taxonomy to classify	ensures that data supporting	
	CERT Incident Response	classifying incidents and its	vector taxonomy and	incidents and consistently	metrics are obtained	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	processes for detecting,	developed handling	implements its processes for	accurately, consistently, and in	
		analyzing, and prioritizing	procedures for specific types	incident detection, analysis,	a reproducible format.	
		incidents.	of incidents, as appropriate.	and prioritization.		
					The organization utilizes	
			In addition, the organization	In addition, the organization	profiling techniques to measure	
			has defined its processes and	consistently implements, and	the characteristics of expected	
			supporting technologies for	analyzes precursors and	activities on its networks and	
			detecting and analyzing	indicators generated by, for	systems so that it can more	
			incidents, including the types	example, the following	effectively detect security	
			of precursors and indicators	technologies: intrusion	incidents. Examples of	
			and how they are generated	detection/prevention, security	profiling include running file	
			and reviewed, and for	information and event	integrity checking software on	
			prioritizing incidents.	management (SIEM), antivirus	hosts to derive checksums for	
				and antispam software, and file	critical files and monitoring	
				integrity checking software.	network bandwidth usage to	
					determine what the average	
				Further, the organization is	and peak usage levels are on	
				consistently capturing and	various days and times.	
				sharing lessons learned on the	Through profiling techniques,	
				effectiveness of its incident	the organization maintains a	
				detection policies and	comprehensive baseline of	
				procedures and making updates	network operations and	
				as necessary.	expected data flows for users	
				-	and systems.	

#### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Respond Function Area (Incident Response)

	0			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently I mplemented	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
55.	How mature are the organization's processes for incident handling (NIST 800-53: IR-4; NIST SP 800-61, Rev. 2; CSF: RS.MI-1 and 2)	The organization has not defined its policies, procedures, and processes for incident handling to include containment strategies for various types of major incidents, eradication activities to eliminate components of an incident and mitigate any vulnerabilities that were exploited, and recovery of systems.	processes for incident handling to include containment strategies for each key incident type. In developing its strategies, the organization takes into consideration: the potential damage to and theft of resources, the need for evidence preservation, service availability, time and resources needed to implement the strategy, effectiveness of the strategy, and duration of the solution. In addition, the organization has defined its processes to eradicate components of an incident, mitigate any vulnerabilities	containment strategies, and incident eradication processes. In addition, the organization consistently implements processes to remediate vulnerabilities that may have been exploited on the target	The organization monitors and analyzes qualitative and quantitative performance measures on the effectiveness of its incident handling policies and procedures. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format. The organization manages and measures the impact of successful incidents and can quickly mitigate related vulnerabilities on other systems so that they are not subject to exploitation of the same vulnerability.	The organization utilizes dynamic reconfiguration (e.g., changes to router rules, access control lists, and filter rules for firewalls and gateways) to stop attacks, misdirect attackers, and to isolate components of systems.
56.	response information is shared with individuals with significant security responsibilities and reported to external stakeholders in a timely manner (FISMA; OMB M-20-04; NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: IR-6; US-CERT	The organization has not defined its policies, procedures, and processes to share incident response information with individuals with significant security responsibilities or its processes for reporting security incidents, including major incidents, to US- CERT and other stakeholders (e.g., Congress and the Inspector General, as applicable) in a timely manner.	organization has defined its processes for reporting security incident information, including for major incidents, to US-CERT, law enforcement, the Congress and the Office of Inspector General, as appropriate.	ensures that security incidents are reported to US-CERT, law enforcement, the Office of Inspector General, and the	Incident response metrics are used to measure and manage the timely reporting of incident information to organizational officials and external stakeholders. The organization ensures that data supporting metrics are obtained accurately, consistently, and in a reproducible format.	The organization receives, retains, uses, and disseminates cyber threat indicators in accordance with the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015.

				Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently I mplemented	Managed and	Optimized
					Measurable	
57.	stakeholders to ensure on-site, technical assistance/surge capabilities can be leveraged for quickly responding to incidents, including through contracts/agreements, as appropriate, for incident response support (NIST SP 800- 86; NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: IR- 4; OMB M-20-04; PPD-41).	The organization has not defined how it will collaborate with DHS and other parties, as appropriate, to provide on-site, technical assistance/surge resources/special capabilities for quickly responding to incidents. In addition, the organization has not defined how it plans to utilize DHS' Einstein program for intrusion detection/prevention capabilities for traffic entering and leaving the organization's networks.	The organization has defined how it will collaborate with DHS and other parties, as appropriate, to provide on-site, technical assistance/surge resources/special capabilities for quickly responding to incidents. This includes identification of incident response services that may need to be procured to support organizational processes. In addition, the organization has defined how it plans to utilize DHS' Einstein program for intrusion detection/prevention capabilities for traffic entering and leaving the organization's networks.	The organization consistently utilizes on-site, technical assistance/surge capabilities offered by DHS or ensures that such capabilities are in place and can be leveraged when needed. In addition, the organization has entered into contractual relationships in support of incident response processes (e.g., for forensic support), as needed. The organization has fully deployed DHS' Einstein 1 and 2 to screen all traffic entering and leaving its network through a TIC.	The organization utilizes Einstein 3 Accelerated, and/or other comparable tools or services, to detect and proactively block cyber- attacks or prevent potential compromises.	

#### FY 2021 Inspector General FISMA Metrics v1.1 Respond Function Area (Incident Response)

	0 4			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	Consistently I mplemented	Managed and	Optimized
					Measurable	
58.	technology to support its incident response program? -Web application protections, such as web application firewalls	The organization has not identified and defined its requirements for incident response technologies needed in one or more of the specified areas and relies on manual/procedural methods in instances where automation would be more effective.		consistently implemented its defined incident response technologies in the specified areas. In addition, the technologies utilized are interoperable to the extent practicable, cover all components of the organization's network, and have been configured to	The organization evaluates the effectiveness of its incident response technologies and makes adjustments to configurations and toolsets, as appropriate.	The organization has institutionalized the implementation of advanced incident response technologies for analysis of trends and performance against benchmarks (e.g., simulation based technologies to continuously determine the impact of potential security incidents to its IT assets) and adjusts incident response processes and security measures accordingly.
59.	2; NIST SP 800-44) Provide any additional information on the effectiveness (positive or negative) of the organization's incident response program that was not noted in the questions above. Taking into consideration the maturity level generated from the questions above and based on all testing performed, is the incident response program effective?					

# **RECOVER FUNCTION AREA**

### Table 13: Contingency Planning

	Oti		Maturity Level						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized			
60.	responsibilities of stakeholders involved in information systems contingency planning been	have not been fully defined and communicated across the organization, including appropriate delegations of authority.	Roles and responsibilities of stakeholders have been fully defined and communicated across the organization, including appropriate delegations of authority. In addition, the organization has designated appropriate teams to implement its contingency planning strategies. Further, the organization has defined its policies and procedures for providing contingency training consistent with roles and responsibilities.	roles and responsibilities that have been defined across the organization. The organization ensures that contingency training is provided consistent with roles and responsibilities to ensure	Resources (people, processes, and technology) are allocated in a risk-based manner for stakeholders to effectively implement system contingency planning activities. Further, stakeholders are held accountable for carrying out their roles and responsibilities effectively.	The organization incorporates simulated events into contingency training to facilitate effective response by stakeholders (internal and external) involved in information systems contingency planning and to measure the extent to which individuals are equipped to perform their roles and responsibilities.			

	0 /			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
61.	To what extent does the	The organization has not	The organization has defined	The organization consistently	The organization ensures that	
	organization ensure that the	defined its policies,	its policies, procedures, and	incorporates the results of	the results of organizational	
	results of business impact	procedures, and processes	processes for conducting	organizational and system	and system level BIA's are	
	analyses (BIA) are used to guide	for conducting	organizational and system-	level BIAs into strategy and	integrated with enterprise risk	
	contingency planning efforts	organizational and system-	level BIAs and for	plan development efforts.	management processes, for	
	(NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CP-2;	level BIAs and for	incorporating the results into		consistently evaluating,	
	NIST SP 800-34, Rev. 1, 3.2;	incorporating the results into	strategy and plan development	System level BIAs are	recording, and monitoring the	
	NIST IR 8286; FIPS 199; FCD-	strategy and plan	efforts.	integrated with the	criticality and sensitivity of	
	1; OMB M-19-03; FY 2021 CIO	development efforts.		organizational level BIA and	enterprise assets.	
	FISMA Metrics, Section 5;			include: characterization of all		
	CSF:ID.RA-4)?			system components,	As appropriate, the	
				determination of	organization utilizes the	
				missions/business processes	results of its BIA in	
				and recovery criticality,	conjunction with its risk	
				identification of resource	register to calculate potential	
				requirements, and	losses and inform senior level	
				identification of recovery	decision making.	
				priorities for system resources.		
				The results of the BIA are		
				consistently used to determine		
				contingency planning		
				requirements and priorities,		
				including mission essential		
				functions/high value assets.		

	0			Maturity Level		
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized
62.		The organization has not defined its policies, procedures, and processes for information system contingency plan (ISCP) development and maintenance. In addition, the organization has not developed templates to guide plan development; and system contingency plans are developed in an ad-hoc manner with limited integration with other continuity plans.	its policies, procedure, and processes for information system contingency plan development, maintenance, and integration with other continuity areas. The policies, procedures, and processes for ISCP include the following phases: activation and notification, recovery, and reconstitution.		The organization is able to integrate metrics on the effectiveness of its information system contingency plans with information on the effectiveness of related plans, such as organization and business process continuity, disaster recovery, incident management, insider threat implementation, and occupant emergency, as appropriate to deliver persistent situational awareness across the organization. The organization coordinates the development of ISCP's with the contingency plans of external service providers.	Information system contingency planning activities are fully integrated with the enterprise risk management program, strategic planning processes, capital allocation/budgeting, and other mission/business areas and embedded into daily decision making across the organization.
63.	•	The organization has not defined its policies, procedures, and processes for information system contingency plan testing/exercises. ISCP tests are performed in an ad-hoc, reactive manner.	testing and exercises have been defined and include, as applicable, notification procedures, system recovery	Information system contingency plan testing and exercises are consistently implemented. ISCP testing and exercises are integrated, to the extent practicable, with testing of related plans, such as incident response plan/COOP/BCP.	The organization employs automated mechanisms to test system contingency plans more thoroughly and effectively. In addition, the organization coordinates plan testing with external stakeholders (e.g., ICT supply chain partners/providers), as appropriate.	Based on risk, the organization performs a full recovery and reconstitution of systems to a known state. In addition, the organization proactively employs [organization defined mechanisms] to disrupt or adversely affect the system or system component and test the effectiveness of contingency planning processes.

		Maturity Level						
Question		Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
64.	To what extent does the organization perform information system backup and storage, including use of alternate storage and processing sites, as appropriate (NIST SP 800-53 REV. 4: CP-6, CP-7, CP- 8, and CP-9; NIST SP 800-34: 3.4.1, 3.4.2, 3.4.3; FCD-1; NIST CSF: PR.IP-4; FY 2021 CIO FISMA Metrics, Section 5; and NARA guidance on information systems security records)?	Ad Hoc The organization has not defined its policies, procedures, processes, strategies, and technologies for information system backup and storage, including the use of alternate storage and processing sites and redundant array of independent disks (RAID), as appropriate. Information system backup and storage is performed in an ad- hoc, reactive manner.	The organization has defined its policies, procedures, processes, strategies, and technologies for information system backup and storage, including use of alternate storage and processing sites and RAID, as appropriate. The organization has considered alternative approaches when developing its backup and storage strategies, including cost, environment (e.g., cloud model deployed), maximum downtimes, recovery priorities, and integration with other contingency plans.	The organization consistently implements its policies, procedures, processes, strategies, and technologies for information system backup and storage, including the use of alternate storage and processing sites and RAID, as appropriate. Alternate processing and storage sites are chosen based upon risk assessments that ensure the potential disruption of the organization's ability to initiate and sustain operations	Managed and Measurable The organization ensures that its information system backup and storage processes, including use of alternate storage and processing sties, and related supply chain controls, are assessed, as appropriate, as part of its continuous monitoring program. As part of its continuous monitoring processes, the organization demonstrates that its system backup and storage and alternate storage and processing sites are configured to facilitate recovery operations in accordance with recovery time and recover point objectives.	Optimized		

	Orrection	Maturity Level (1997)						
	Question	Ad Hoc	Defined	<b>Consistently Implemented</b>	Managed and Measurable	Optimized		
65.	To what level does the	The organization has not	The organization has defined	Information on the planning	Metrics on the effectiveness of			
	organization ensure that	defined how the planning	how the planning and	and performance of recovery	recovery activities are			
	information on the planning and	and performance of recovery	performance of recovery	activities is consistently	communicated to relevant			
	performance of recovery	activities are communicated	activities are communicated to	communicated to relevant	stakeholders and the			
	activities is communicated to	to internal stakeholders and	internal stakeholders and	stakeholders and executive	organization has ensured that			
	internal stakeholders and	executive management	executive management teams.	management teams, who	the data supporting the metrics			
	executive management teams	teams and used to make risk-		utilize the information to make	are obtained accurately,			
	and used to make risk based	based decisions.		risk-based decisions.	consistently, and in a			
	decisions (CSF: RC.CO-3; NIST				reproducible format.			
	SP 800-53 REV. 4: CP-2 and IR-							
	4)?							
66.	Provide any additional							
	information on the effectiveness							
	(positive or negative) of the							
	organization's contingency							
	planning program that was not							
	noted in the questions above.							
	Taking into consideration the							
	maturity level generated from							
1	the questions above and based							
1	on all testing performed, is the							
	contingency program effective?							